Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Aquaculture

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aqua-online

# Comparative study on the bioavailability of chelated or inorganic zinc in diets containing tricalcium phosphate and phytate to turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*)



Aquaculture

# Rui Ma<sup>a</sup>, Huapeng Hou<sup>a</sup>, Kangsen Mai<sup>a</sup>, Anant S. Bharadwaj<sup>b</sup>, Fengjie Ji<sup>b</sup>, Wenbing Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Key Laboratory of Aquaculture Nutrition and Feed, Ministry of Agriculture, The Key Laboratory of Mariculture (Ministry of Education), Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, PR China <sup>b</sup> Novus International, Inc., St. Charles, MO 63304, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 July 2013 Received in revised form 2 November 2013 Accepted 5 November 2013 Available online 14 November 2013

Keywords: Turbot ZnSO<sub>4</sub> Chelated Zn Requirement Nutrition

## ABSTRACT

A2 × 6 factorial experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of chelated (Mintrex<sup>™</sup> Zn, Zn–M) or inorganic (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, Zn–S) zinc as dietary zinc sources on growth, feed utilization, tissue zinc deposition and antioxidative responses of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Semi-purified diets were made to contain tricalcium phosphate and sodium phytate at levels of 2% and 0.5%, respectively, to resemble levels in practical diets. Ten experimental diets were made by adding either Zn–S or Zn–M to the basal diet to achieve five levels of dietary zinc (15, 45, 75, 105 and 135 mg/kg diet) for each zinc source, respectively. The basal control diet and ten experimental diets were fed to groups (n = 5) of juvenile turbot (initial mean weight: 4.78 g) for 8 weeks. Results showed that the specific growth rate (SGR), feed intake (FI), feed efficiency (FE), whole body and bone zinc concentration, whole body crude lipid content, serum superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX) activity in serum or liver of turbot were significantly improved by zinc supplementation (*P* < 0.05). On the basis of SGR, the dietary zinc requirement of juvenile turbot was estimated to be 60.2 mg/kg, using broken-line regression analysis.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Zinc is required for normal growth, development, and function in animals. It functions as a cofactor in several enzyme systems and is a component of a large number of metallo-enzymes (NRC, 2011). Zinc deficiency leads to mortality, anorexia, poor growth, cataract, skin erosion and oxidative damage in fish such as rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) and tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Eid and Ghonim, 1994; Gatlin and Wilson, 1983; Kucukbay et al., 2006; Ogino and Yang, 1978). Previous studies showed that requirements of dietary zinc for fish ranged from 15 to 40 mg/kg diet (Watanabe et al., 1997). The zinc requirement varies with the feed ingredients. Gatlin and Wilson (1983) indicated that zinc requirement of channel catfish was 20 mg/kg in purified diets, but 150 mg/kg in practical diets (Gatlin and Wilson, 1984). Fish meal and plant protein sources used in practical diets contain anti-nutritional factors (e.g., tricalcium phosphate and phytate) that inhibit zinc availability (Apines et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 1985; Satoh et al., 1987, 1989). Thus, increased levels of zinc are required to overcome the inhibitory

0044-8486/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.11.003 effects of tricalcium phosphate or phytate (Davis et al., 1993; Gatlin and Phillips, 1989).

Organic minerals are important trace mineral sources, because they protect trace elements from forming insoluble complexes (such as with phytate) in the digestive tract and facilitates transport across the intestinal mucosa (Ashmead, 1993). It was confirmed that organic zinc had higher bioavailability than inorganic zinc in terrestrial vertebrates and aquatic animals, such as the chick (Wedekind et al., 1992), abalone (*Haliotis discus hannai*) (Tan and Mai, 2001), channel catfish (Paripatananont and Lovell, 1995) and rainbow trout (Apines et al., 2001). However, it was also suggested in some other studies that substitution of organic zinc for inorganic zinc did not lead to improvement in growth of pig (Swinkels et al., 1996; Wedekind et al., 1994), chick (Pimentel et al., 1991) or tilapia (Do Carmo E Sá et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2011).

Mintrex<sup>TM</sup> Zn is a relatively new type of organically bound Zn that has become available on the market. It is a Zn chelated with 2-hydroxy-4 (methylthio) butanoic acid (HMTBa), which is the hydroxy analog of methionine. In previous studies, it was found that HMTBa from this Zn source was fully available as a methionine source in broiler chicks (Yi et al., 2007) and hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* × *Morone saxatilis*) (Savolainen and Gatlin, 2010). Meanwhile, Zn from Mintrex Zn was more bioavailable than Zn from ZnSO<sub>4</sub> in chicks and poults (Dibner, 2005; Yuan et al., 2011).



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 532 82032145. E-mail address: wzhang@ouc.edu.cn (W. Zhang).

Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) is a commercially important marine fish species. It is farmed on the Atlantic coast of Europe as well as on the Pacific coast of Asia including China, Korea and Japan. However, there is no published data on the mineral nutrition for this species. The aim of this study was to comparatively analyze the effects of chelated or inorganic dietary zinc sources on growth performance, feed utilization and physiological responses in S. maximus fed semi-purified diets containing tricalcium phosphate and sodium phytate at levels typically found in practical diets.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Experimental diets

Semi-purified diets supplemented with 2% of tricalcium phosphate and 0.5% of sodium phytate were used in the present study. The basal diet was formulated with casein, gelatin (casein:gelatin = 4:1) and white fish meal as the intact protein sources to contain 48.5% crude protein, 11% crude lipid (Table 1). The basal diet containing  $36.2 \pm 0.7$  mg/kg of zinc was used as the control. Ten experimental diets were formulated based on the basal diet and were supplemented with 15, 45, 75, 105 and 135 mg/kg diet zinc using either  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (Zn-S, 22.63% zinc; Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China) or Mintrex<sup>™</sup> Zn (Zn-M, 14% zinc, 80% HMTBa; Novus International Inc., St. Charles, MO, USA) on an equivalent basis, respectively.

Table 1

Ingredients

Formulation and proximate composition of the experimental diets (% dry matter).

Diet 2

ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O

Diet 1

(0)

Diet number (added each zinc source level mg/kg diet)

Diet 3

 $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ 

Diet 4

 $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ 

Methionine levels in diets were balanced by adding Mera<sup>™</sup> Met (84% HMTBa; Novus International Inc., St. Charles, MO, USA). Final zinc concentrations in the five Zn-S supplemented diets (diets 2-6; n = 3) were 54.4  $\pm$  0.4, 76.2  $\pm$  4.0, 106.5  $\pm$  4.7, 124.8  $\pm$  4.3 and  $165.6 \pm 6.6$  mg/kg, respectively, as analyzed by an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometer (ICP-OES; VISTA-MPX, Varian, Palo Alto, USA). Those for the five Zn–M supplemented diets (diets 7-11; n = 3) were 48.8  $\pm$  1.6, 75.4  $\pm$  4.3, 110.9  $\pm$  5.2, 128.7  $\pm$  5.0 and 167.2  $\pm$  5.7 mg/kg, respectively.

Diet ingredients were ground into fine powder through a 246-µm mesh. Then all the ingredients were thoroughly mixed with the fish oil, and water was added to produce stiff dough. The dough was then pelleted with an experimental feed mill (F-26 (II), South China University of Technology, China) and dried for about 12 h in a ventilated oven at 45 °C, and stored at -20 °C until used.

#### 2.2. Feeding trial

Diet 6

 $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ 

Diet 7

Chelated

Juvenile turbot were obtained from a commercial farm in Laizhou, Shandong, China. Prior to the start of the feeding trial, fish were acclimatized to the zinc-deficient basal diet for two weeks. Groups of fish (initial weight: 4.78  $\pm$  0.01 g) were then randomly assigned to the basal control diet or one of the 10 experimental diets. There were 11 groups with 5 replicates per group. Each tank (300 L) stocked with 30 fish was used as a replicate. The feeding trial was conducted in an indoor

Diet 8

Chelated

Diet 9

Chelated

Diet 10

Chelated

Diet 11

Chelated

|   |       | (15)  | (45)  | (75)  | (105) | (135) | Zn (15) | Zn (45) | Zn (75) | Zn (105) | Zn (135) |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Casein <sup>a</sup>   | 34    | 34    | 34    | 34    | 34    | 34    | 34      | 34      | 34      | 34       | 34       |
| Gelatin <sup>a</sup>  | 8.5   | 8.5   | 8.5   | 8.5   | 8.5   | 8.5   | 8.5     | 8.5     | 8.5     | 8.5      | 8.5      |
| White fish meal <sup>a</sup>  | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10      | 10      | 10      | 10       | 10       |
| Alpha starch  | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10    | 10      | 10      | 10      | 10       | 10       |
| Dextrine  | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15      | 15      | 15      | 15       | 15       |
| Microcrystalline cellulose  | 3.16  | 3.16  | 3.16  | 3.16  | 3.16  | 3.16  | 3.16    | 3.16    | 3.16    | 3.16     | 3.16     |
| Fish oil  | 11    | 11    | 11    | 11    | 11    | 11    | 11      | 11      | 11      | 11       | 11       |
| Zinc-free mineral premix <sup>b</sup>   | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2       | 2       | 2       | 2        | 2        |
| Vitamin premix <sup>c</sup>   | 1.5   | 1.5   | 1.5   | 1.5   | 1.5   | 1.5   | 1.5     | 1.5     | 1.5     | 1.5      | 1.5      |
| Choline chloride  | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.2     | 0.2     | 0.2     | 0.2      | 0.2      |
| $Ca (H_2PO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O$   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3     | 0.3     | 0.3     | 0.3      | 0.3      |
| Attractant <sup>d</sup>   | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1        | 1        |
| Taurine   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5      | 0.5      |
| Calcium propionate  | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1      | 0.1      |
| Antioxidant   | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05     | 0.05     |
| Sodium phytate  | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5      | 0.5      |
| Tricalcium phosphate  | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2       | 2       | 2       | 2        | 2        |
| Mera™ Met (mg/kg diet) <sup>e</sup>   | 918.4 | 918.4 | 918.4 | 918.4 | 918.4 | 918.4 | 816.3   | 612.2   | 408.2   | 204.1    | 0        |
| ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O (mg/kg diet) <sup>f</sup>  | 0     | 66.3  | 198.9 | 331.4 | 464   | 596.6 | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0        |
| Mintrex™ Zn (mg/kg diet) <sup>g</sup>   | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 107.1   | 321.4   | 535.7   | 750.0    | 964.3    |
| Proximate composition ( $N = 3$ )   |       |       |       |       |       |       |         |         |         |          |          |
| Zinc (mg/kg diet)   | 36.2  | 54.4  | 76.2  | 106.5 | 124.8 | 165.6 | 48.8    | 75.4    | 110.9   | 128.7    | 167.2    |
| Crude protein   | 48.5  | 49.7  | 48.4  | 49.8  | 49.2  | 49.0  | 49.6    | 48.8    | 49.0    | 49.9     | 49.5     |
| Crude lipid   | 11.0  | 11.2  | 11.5  | 11.8  | 10.5  | 10.3  | 11.7    | 11.5    | 11.5    | 10.7     | 11.2     |
| Moisture  | 8.6   | 7.7   | 8.2   | 9.4   | 10.1  | 8.6   | 9.7     | 9.1     | 9.9     | 9.7      | 9.7      |
| Ash   | 5.4   | 5.3   | 5.4   | 5.4   | 5.3   | 5.3   | 5.3     | 5.4     | 5.4     | 5.4      | 5.3      |
| <sup>a</sup> Casein (Hua Ling Casein Company Limited, Gansu Province, China), crude protein 96.9%, crude lipid 0.53%; Gelatin (Yi Xin Bio-tech Co. Ltd., Shandong, China), crude protein 99.3%, |       |       |       |       |       |       |         |         |         |          |          |

Diet 5

 $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ 

crude lipid 0.21%; white fish meal (Great Seven Bio-tech Co. Ltd., Shandong, China), crude protein 71.3%, crude lipid 6.89%.

<sup>b</sup> Mineral premix (g/kg diet): MgSO<sub>4</sub>· H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.200; CuSO<sub>4</sub>· 5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.010; FeSO<sub>4</sub>· H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.080; MnSO<sub>4</sub>· H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.045; CoCl<sub>2</sub>· 6H<sub>2</sub>O (1%), 0.050; Ca(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1%), 0.060; Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> (1%), 0.020; microcrystalline cellulose, 18.485.

Vitamin premix (g/kg diet): thiamin, 0.025; riboflavin, 0.045; pyridoxine HCl, 0.020; vitamin B12, 0.010; vitamin K3, 0.010; inositol, 0.800; pantothenic acid, 0.060; niacin acid, 0.200; folic acid, 0.020; biotin, 0.060; retinal acetate, 0.032; cholecalciferol, 0.005; α-tocopherol, 0.240; ascorbic acid, 2.000; ethoxyquin 0.003; microcrystalline cellulose, 11.470.

Attractant, betaine:DMPT:Glycine:Alanine:5-inosinyl phosphate inosine = 4:2:2:1:1.

Mera™ Met, contained 84% 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio) butanoic acid (HMTBa), Novus International, Inc., St. Charles, Missouri 63304, USA.

<sup>f</sup> ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, contained 22.63% zinc, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China.

g Mintrex™ Zn, contained 14% zinc, 80% HMTBa, Novus International, Inc., St. Charles, Missouri 63304, USA.

re-circulating seawater system for 8 weeks. Water in system was renewed for 2 times per day. About 150 L water in each tank was exchanged by new seawater each time. Fish were hand-fed to apparent satiation twice daily at 07:30 and 19:30, respectively. During the feeding trial, water temperature ranged from 16 to 18 °C, salinity from 29 to 30‰, and dissolved oxygen was >5 mg/L. Concentration of zinc in seawater was 16.49  $\pm$  0.26 µg/L.

#### 2.3. Sample collection and chemical analysis

At the termination of the feeding trial, fish were not fed for 24 h, and were then counted and weighed. Four fish per tank were randomly selected for the determination of whole body composition. Nine other fish per tank were anesthetized with eugenol (1:10,000) (Shanghai Reagent Corp, China) for blood collection. Samples of blood were stored at 4 °C for 5 h and then centrifuged at 4000  $\times g$  for 10 min to obtain serum. The fish were then dissected to obtain samples of liver, muscle and bone. Bones were dried for 2 h at 105 °C, then ether extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus for 3 h to remove lipid. Sampling of the experimental fish followed the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Ocean University of China.

Feed ingredients, experimental diets and fish whole bodies were analyzed for dry matter, crude protein, crude lipid and ash using standard methods of AOAC (1995). Samples were dried to a constant weight at 105 °C to determine moisture. Crude protein was determined by measuring nitrogen (N  $\times$  6.25) using the Kjeldahl method (2300-Auto-analyzer, FOSS, Hillerød, Denmark). Crude lipid was analyzed by ether extraction using the Soxhlet method (36680-analyer, BUCHI, Flawil, Switzerland). Combustion at 550 °C in a muffle furnace was used to determine the ash content.

Activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX) in serum were determined by colorimetric method using commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). The liver samples were homogenized in 9 volumes (v/w) of ice-cold (0 °C) normal saline. Activities of SOD, CAT and GSH-PX in liver homogenates were determined using the same kits as for serum. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARs) in liver was analyzed using a QuantiChrom<sup>™</sup> TBARs assay kit (Bas-biotech, Inc., Chengdu, China). Zinc concentrations in the serum, liver, muscle, bone and whole body were measured by ICP-OES.

#### 2.4. Calculations and statistical analysis

The growth and feed utilization were expressed as follows:

Specific growth rate (SGR, %/day) =  $100 \times \ln$  (final body weight / initial body weight) / days. Feed efficiency (FE, %) =  $100 \times (body weight gain) / (feed fed)$ . Feed intake (FI, %/day) =  $100 \times feed fed / [(initial body weight + final body weight) / 2] / days.$ 

The interaction between the dietary zinc sources and levels was evaluated to a two-factorial design  $(2 \times 6)$ . Two-way ANOVA was conducted for all the data using SPSS 16.0. When significant differences (P < 0.05) were observed, Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare differences among treatments. Dietary zinc requirements of juvenile turbot were estimated by broken-line regression analysis (Robbins, 1986; Robbins et al., 1979).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Growth and survival

No mortalities occurred during the feeding trial. Fish fed the basal diet showed the significantly lowest SGR, FE and FI (P < 0.05, Table 2). SGR and FI increased significantly with the increasing supplemented zinc levels from 15 to 45 mg/kg diet, regardless of the dietary zinc sources (P < 0.05). However with further increase of dietary zinc supplementation, both SGR and FI remained relatively constant.

There was no significant effect of dietary zinc sources on SGR, FE and FI (P > 0.05). Data on SGR in the two zinc source treatments were pooled for the following analysis. Broken-line regression analysis of SGR indicated that the dietary zinc requirement of juvenile turbot was estimated to be 60.2 mg/kg (Fig. 1).

Effects of  $ZnSO_4$  (Zn–S) and chelated Zn (Zn–M) on growth and feed utilization of turbot (n = 5).

| Sources               | Supplemented levels | Initial weight  | Final weight             | SGR <sup>1</sup>         | FI <sup>2</sup>         | FE <sup>3</sup>       |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
|                       | (mg/kg diet)        | (g)             | (g)                      | (%/day)                  | (%/day)                 | (%)                   |
| Control               | 0                   | $4.78\pm0.00$   | $22.66 \pm 0.54$         | $2.78\pm0.04$            | $1.63 \pm 0.01$         | 104.08 ± 2.16         |
| Zn-S                  | 15                  | $4.78 \pm 0.01$ | $24.82 \pm 0.39$         | $2.94 \pm 0.03$          | $1.68 \pm 0.03$         | $109.82 \pm 1.37$     |
|                       | 45                  | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $26.07 \pm 0.73$         | $3.03\pm0.05$            | $1.74 \pm 0.01$         | 109.48 ± 2.21         |
|                       | 75                  | $4.78\pm0.00$   | $25.41 \pm 0.15$         | $2.98 \pm 0.01$          | $1.70\pm0.02$           | $110.45 \pm 1.40$     |
|                       | 105                 | $4.78 \pm 0.01$ | $25.78 \pm 0.47$         | $3.01 \pm 0.03$          | $1.71 \pm 0.02$         | $110.47 \pm 1.35$     |
|                       | 135                 | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $25.85 \pm 0.62$         | $3.01 \pm 0.04$          | $1.68 \pm 0.02$         | $112.93 \pm 1.97$     |
| Zn-M                  | 15                  | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $24.59 \pm 0.47$         | $2.92\pm0.03$            | $1.64\pm0.01$           | $111.91 \pm 2.11$     |
|                       | 45                  | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $26.18 \pm 0.90$         | $3.03\pm0.04$            | $1.69 \pm 0.02$         | $113.32 \pm 3.13$     |
|                       | 75                  | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $26.05 \pm 0.42$         | $3.03\pm0.03$            | $1.67 \pm 0.02$         | $113.09 \pm 1.99$     |
|                       | 105                 | $4.78 \pm 0.00$ | $25.50 \pm 0.49$         | $2.99\pm0.03$            | $1.67 \pm 0.02$         | $112.49 \pm 1.52$     |
|                       | 135                 | $4.78 \pm 0.01$ | $25.30 \pm 1.03$         | $2.97\pm0.08$            | $1.70 \pm 0.03$         | $109.34 \pm 4.38$     |
| Zinc source           | Zn–S                |                 | $25.10 \pm 0.29$         | $2.96\pm0.02$            | $1.69 \pm 0.01$         | $109.54 \pm 0.83$     |
|                       | Zn-M                |                 | $25.05 \pm 0.34$         | $2.95\pm0.02$            | $1.67 \pm 0.01$         | $110.71 \pm 1.18$     |
| Zinc level            | 0                   |                 | $22.66 \pm 0.54^{\circ}$ | $2.78 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$  | $1.63 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ | $104.08 \pm 2.16^{b}$ |
|                       | 15                  |                 | $24.70 \pm 0.29^{b}$     | $2.93 \pm 0.02^{b}$      | $1.66 \pm 0.02^{bc}$    | $110.86 \pm 1.24^{a}$ |
|                       | 45                  |                 | $26.13 \pm 0.55^{a}$     | $3.03 \pm 0.04^{a}$      | $1.72 \pm 0.01^{a}$     | $111.40 \pm 1.92^{a}$ |
|                       | 75                  |                 | $25.73 \pm 0.24^{ab}$    | $3.01 \pm 0.02^{ab}$     | $1.70 \pm 0.02^{ab}$    | $111.77 \pm 1.23^{a}$ |
|                       | 105                 |                 | $25.64 \pm 0.32^{ab}$    | $3.00 \pm 0.02^{ab}$     | $1.69 \pm 0.02^{ab}$    | $111.48 \pm 1.02^{a}$ |
|                       | 135                 |                 | $25.58 \pm 0.57^{ab}$    | $2.99 \pm 0.04^{\rm ab}$ | $1.69 \pm 0.02^{ab}$    | $111.13 \pm 2.34^{a}$ |
| Source                |                     | 0.305           | 0.889                    | 0.821                    | 0.123                   | 0.384                 |
| Level                 |                     | 0.948           | 0.000                    | 0.000                    | 0.015                   | 0.011                 |
| Source $\times$ level |                     | 0.853           | 0.948                    | 0.935                    | 0.573                   | 0.656                 |

Values (means  $\pm$  S.E.M.) in the same column sharing a common superscript letter were not significantly different (P > 0.05).

 $^{1}$  SGR (specific growth rate, %/day) =  $100 \times \ln$  (final body weight / initial body weight) / days.

<sup>2</sup> FI (feed intake, %/day) =  $100 \times$  feed fed / [(initial body weight + final body weight) / 2] / days.

<sup>3</sup> FE (feed efficiency, %) =  $100 \times (body weight gain) / (feed fed)$ .



**Fig. 1.** Relationship between dietary zinc levels and the specific growth rate (SGR) of turbot fed diets containing graded levels of zinc for 8 weeks. X represents the zinc requirement of turbot.

#### 3.2. Tissue zinc concentrations

Tissue zinc concentrations of turbot fed experimental diets are presented in Table 3. The zinc concentration in bone or in the whole body significantly increased with the dietary zinc levels from 0 to 105 mg/kg diet, regardless of the dietary zinc source (P < 0.05). No significant increase of zinc concentration in the bone was observed with further increase in dietary zinc supplementation. In addition, zinc concentrations in the muscle, liver and serum were not significantly influenced by the different dietary treatments (P > 0.05).

#### 3.3. Whole body composition

Dietary treatment did not significantly influence crude protein or moisture content in the whole body (Table 4). Fish fed the control diet showed significantly lower crude lipid content but higher ash content than those fed zinc supplemented diets (P < 0.05). Two-way ANOVA showed that dietary zinc sources significantly influenced the crude lipid contents (P < 0.05). Fish fed Zn–S diets exhibited significantly higher whole body crude lipid contents than those fed Zn–M diets.

#### Table 4

| Effects of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (Zn-S) and chelated Zn (Zn-M) o | n whole body composition of turbot |
|--|------------------------------------|
| (n = 5).   |                                    |

| Sources                             | Supplemented           | Whole body composition (% on a wet weight) |                     |              |                   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|
|                                     | levels<br>(mg/kg diet) | Crude Crude lipic<br>protein               |                     | Moisture     | Ash               |  |  |
| Control                             | 0                      | $15.1 \pm 0.1$                             | $2.8\pm0.1$         | $78.6\pm0.4$ | $3.6 \pm 0.1$     |  |  |
| Zn–S                                | 15                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.2\pm0.0$         | $78.4\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.1$       |  |  |
|                                     | 45                     | $15.2\pm0.1$                               | $3.4\pm0.1$         | $78.1\pm0.3$ | $3.3\pm0.1$       |  |  |
|                                     | 75                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.3 \pm 0.1$       | $78.5\pm0.1$ | $3.3\pm0.0$       |  |  |
|                                     | 105                    | $15.4\pm0.1$                               | $3.1 \pm 0.2$       | $78.2\pm0.2$ | $3.3 \pm 0.1$     |  |  |
|                                     | 135                    | $15.2\pm0.1$                               | $3.1 \pm 0.1$       | $78.3\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.0$       |  |  |
| Zn-M                                | 15                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.0 \pm 0.1$       | $78.6\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.0$       |  |  |
|                                     | 45                     | $15.0\pm0.1$                               | $3.2\pm0.1$         | $78.6\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.0$       |  |  |
|                                     | 75                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.1\pm0.1$         | $78.6\pm0.2$ | $3.3\pm0.1$       |  |  |
|                                     | 105                    | $15.2\pm0.1$                               | $2.8\pm0.1$         | $78.8\pm0.2$ | $3.3\pm0.1$       |  |  |
|                                     | 135                    | $15.0\pm0.1$                               | $3.1\pm0.1$         | $78.7\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.1$       |  |  |
| Zinc source                         | Zn-S                   | $15.2\pm0.0$                               | $3.2\pm0.1$         | $78.4\pm0.1$ | $3.4\pm0.0$       |  |  |
|                                     | Zn-M                   | $15.1\pm0.0$                               | $3.0\pm0.1$         | $78.7\pm0.1$ | $3.4\pm0.0$       |  |  |
| Zinc level                          | 0                      | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $2.8\pm0.1^{\circ}$ | $78.6\pm0.4$ | $3.6 \pm 0.1^{a}$ |  |  |
|                                     | 15                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.1\pm0.1^{ab}$    | $78.5\pm0.1$ | $3.4\pm0.0^{b}$   |  |  |
|                                     | 45                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.3\pm0.1^{a}$     | $78.4\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.0^{b}$   |  |  |
|                                     | 75                     | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.2\pm0.1^{a}$     | $78.5\pm0.1$ | $3.3\pm0.0^{b}$   |  |  |
|                                     | 105                    | $15.3\pm0.1$                               | $3.0\pm0.1^{bc}$    | $78.5\pm0.2$ | $3.3\pm0.0^{b}$   |  |  |
|                                     | 135                    | $15.1\pm0.1$                               | $3.1\pm0.1^{ab}$    | $78.5\pm0.2$ | $3.4\pm0.0^{b}$   |  |  |
| Source                              |                        | 0.082                                      | 0.021               | 0.032        | 0.707             |  |  |
| Level                               |                        | 0.156                                      | 0.002               | 0.953        | 0.000             |  |  |
| $\text{Source} \times \text{level}$ |                        | 0.233                                      | 0.657               | 0.754        | 0.998             |  |  |

Values (means  $\pm$  S.E.M.) in the same column sharing a common superscript letter were not significantly different (*P* > 0.05).

#### 3.4. Antioxidant responses in serum or liver

Data on the antioxidant responses in serum or liver are shown in Table 5. Activity of SOD in serum significantly increased as zinc supplementation increased from 0 to 75 mg/kg (P < 0.05). GSH-PX activity in serum also significantly increased as zinc supplementation increased from 0 to 105 mg/kg (P < 0.05). Diet supplemented with 45 mg/kg zinc resulted in significantly higher GSH-PX activity in liver (P < 0.05). No differences were found in SOD activity in the liver, CAT activity in the serum and liver, and TBARs levels in the liver (P > 0.05). Dietary zinc sources significantly influenced the SOD activity in serum and the GSH-PX activity in the liver (P < 0.05). Fish fed Zn–S diets showed

#### Table 3

Effects of  $ZnSO_4$  (Zn-S) and chelated Zn (Zn-M) on zinc concentration in the whole body, bone, muscle, liver and serum of turbot (n = 5).

| Sources               | Supplemented levels | Whole body               | Bone                               | Muscle          | Liver                            | Serum          |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
|                       | (mg/kg diet)        | (mg/kg)                  | (mg/kg)                            | (mg/kg)         | (mg/kg)                          | (µg/mL)        |
| Control               | 0                   | 756 + 98                 | 729 + 21                           | $661 \pm 83$    | 1068 + 222                       | 259 + 33       |
| Zn-S                  | 15                  | $843 \pm 97$             | $72.6 \pm 4.3$                     | $68.0 \pm 9.1$  | $102.9 \pm 19.6$                 | $28.0 \pm 21$  |
| 211 5                 | 45                  | $1155 \pm 54$            | $945 \pm 102$                      | $59.7 \pm 6.0$  | $1108 \pm 224$                   | $326 \pm 2.1$  |
|                       | 75                  | $1246 \pm 204$           | $1055 \pm 98$                      | $68.1 \pm 6.6$  | $1045 \pm 216$                   | $31.4 \pm 3.1$ |
|                       | 105                 | $2110 \pm 26.4$          | $134.9 \pm 14.8$                   | $73.7 \pm 7.8$  | $104.5 \pm 27.6$                 | $30.9 \pm 1.3$ |
|                       | 135                 | $160.7 \pm 27.2$         | $136.0 \pm 13.0$                   | $875 \pm 81$    | $107.7 \pm 13.7$                 | $368 \pm 24$   |
| $7n_M$                | 15                  | $801 \pm 30$             | $130.3 \pm 13.3$<br>$81.4 \pm 5.5$ | $65.0 \pm 12.8$ | $107.7 \pm 13.7$<br>885 $\pm$ 81 | $33.6 \pm 2.4$ |
| 211-111               | 15                  | $1010 \pm 0.5$           | $07.1 \pm 12.4$                    | $05.0 \pm 12.0$ | $1065 \pm 244$                   | $33.0 \pm 2.1$ |
|                       | 45                  | $101.0 \pm 9.5$          | $97.2 \pm 12.4$                    | $93.4 \pm 9.0$  | $100.3 \pm 24.4$                 | $29.5 \pm 2.1$ |
|                       | /5                  | $120.9 \pm 10.0$         | $109.9 \pm 13.2$                   | $66.4 \pm 8.7$  | $125.9 \pm 34.3$                 | $35.6 \pm 2.7$ |
|                       | 105                 | $166.8 \pm 15.2$         | $146.9 \pm 18.0$                   | $73.1 \pm 9.8$  | $93.4 \pm 19.9$                  | $33.4 \pm 3.7$ |
|                       | 135                 | $153.8 \pm 24.4$         | $138.2 \pm 14.4$                   | $65.7 \pm 5.9$  | $80.3 \pm 9.3$                   | $27.8 \pm 3.7$ |
| Zinc source           | Zn–S                | $128.6 \pm 11.0$         | $102.6 \pm 6.2$                    | $69.7 \pm 3.2$  | $108.4 \pm 8.1$                  | $30.9 \pm 1.1$ |
|                       | Zn-M                | $116.4 \pm 8.2$          | $107.7 \pm 6.8$                    | $72.0 \pm 4.0$  | $100.2 \pm 8.5$                  | $30.9 \pm 1.3$ |
| Zinc level            | 0                   | $75.6 \pm 9.8^{\circ}$   | $72.9 \pm 2.1^{c}$                 | $66.1 \pm 8.3$  | $106.8 \pm 22.2$                 | $25.9 \pm 3.3$ |
|                       | 15                  | $82.2 \pm 5.0^{\circ}$   | $77.0 \pm 3.6^{\circ}$             | $66.5 \pm 7.4$  | 95.7 ± 10.3                      | 30.8 ± 1.7     |
|                       | 45                  | $108.2 \pm 5.7^{\rm bc}$ | $95.8 \pm 7.6^{bc}$                | 77.6 ± 7.8      | $108.7 \pm 15.6$                 | $30.9 \pm 1.6$ |
|                       | 75                  | $122.8 \pm 10.7^{b}$     | $107.7 \pm 7.8^{b}$                | $67.2 \pm 5.2$  | $115.2 \pm 19.5$                 | $33.5 \pm 2.1$ |
|                       | 105                 | $188.9 \pm 16.3^{a}$     | $140.9 \pm 11.2^{a}$               | $73.4 \pm 5.9$  | $105.5 \pm 16.6$                 | $32.1 \pm 1.9$ |
|                       | 135                 | $157.2 \pm 17.3^{a}$     | $137.6 \pm 9.4^{a}$                | $74.1 \pm 5.5$  | $94.0\pm9.0$                     | $32.3\pm2.6$   |
| Source                |                     | 0.201                    | 0.457                              | 0.647           | 0.423                            | 0.988          |
| Level                 |                     | 0.000                    | 0.000                              | 0.671           | 0.279                            | 0.122          |
| Source $\times$ level |                     | 0.774                    | 0.994                              | 0.082           | 0.346                            | 0.112          |
|                       |                     |                          |                                    |                 |                                  |                |

Values (means  $\pm$  S.E.M.) in the same column sharing a common superscript letter were not significantly different (P > 0.05).

| Fable 5   |  |
|---|--|
| Effects of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (Zn–S) and chelated Zn (Zn–M) on antioxidant responses in the serum or liver of turbot ( $n = 5$ ) |  |

| Sources                             | Supplemented levels (mg/kg diet) | Serum SOD<br>(U/mL)  | Liver SOD<br>(U/mg prot) | Serum CAT<br>(U/mL) | Liver CAT<br>(U/mg prot) | Serum GSH-PX<br>(U/mL)  | Liver GSH-PX<br>(U/mg prot) | TBARs<br>(µm MDA equivalents) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Control                             | 0                                | 66.2 ± 10.2          | $64.1 \pm 9.7$           | 3.8 ± 0.5           | $14.7 \pm 2.1$           | $28.4 \pm 5.0$          | $29.2 \pm 4.9$              | $4.2 \pm 0.3$                 |
| Zn-S                                | 15                               | $69.6 \pm 7.3$       | $52.9 \pm 6.3$           | $3.1 \pm 0.2$       | $12.7 \pm 1.0$           | $33.3 \pm 2.3$          | $31.1 \pm 0.5$              | $2.6 \pm 0.4$                 |
|                                     | 45                               | $69.0 \pm 7.4$       | 97.0 ± 11.8              | $5.2\pm0.8$         | $22.7\pm6.6$             | 49.7 ± 11.5             | $36.9\pm6.8$                | $5.1 \pm 1.0$                 |
|                                     | 75                               | $107.5 \pm 10.9$     | $74.0\pm10.6$            | $4.1\pm0.8$         | $16.9 \pm 3.6$           | $35.5 \pm 6.7$          | $37.5 \pm 3.2$              | $4.3 \pm 1.1$                 |
|                                     | 105                              | $138.5\pm20.0$       | $73.8\pm9.1$             | $5.5\pm0.7$         | $15.8\pm1.8$             | $65.7\pm3.1$            | $30.5\pm1.4$                | $4.9 \pm 1.1$                 |
|                                     | 135                              | $86.8 \pm 12.5$      | $57.9\pm5.8$             | $3.9\pm0.4$         | $16.9\pm1.8$             | $34.6\pm6.7$            | $35.4 \pm 1.8$              | $6.0 \pm 1.1$                 |
| Zn-M                                | 15                               | $48.2\pm10.5$        | $67.1\pm5.8$             | $3.8\pm0.5$         | $15.9\pm2.1$             | $38.2\pm6.7$            | $35.9 \pm 1.7$              | $4.7\pm0.5$                   |
|                                     | 45                               | $52.0 \pm 15.5$      | $68.2 \pm 6.3$           | $4.0\pm0.5$         | $14.3\pm1.4$             | $42.3\pm3.4$            | $63.2\pm7.00$               | $4.5 \pm 1.3$                 |
|                                     | 75                               | $107.0 \pm 17.4$     | $69.7\pm9.2$             | $3.9\pm0.7$         | $16.1 \pm 4.8$           | $43.3\pm7.5$            | $36.9 \pm 5.1$              | $4.3 \pm 1.3$                 |
|                                     | 105                              | $76.1 \pm 21.2$      | $71.1\pm1.8$             | $3.9\pm0.3$         | $12.0\pm2.7$             | $62.6\pm9.0$            | $42.1 \pm 5.9$              | $3.0 \pm 1.1$                 |
|                                     | 135                              | $100.6 \pm 11.5$     | $65.3 \pm 16.9$          | $3.4\pm0.2$         | $9.4\pm2.4$              | $57.6 \pm 8.2$          | $42.9\pm1.5$                | $6.2 \pm 1.5$                 |
| Zinc source                         | Zn-S                             | $88.4 \pm 6.7$       | $69.2\pm4.4$             | $4.3\pm0.3$         | $16.3 \pm 1.2$           | $42.4\pm3.8$            | $33.4 \pm 1.5$              | $4.5\pm0.4$                   |
|                                     | Zn-M                             | $77.8 \pm 5.9$       | $67.5\pm3.4$             | $3.8\pm0.2$         | $13.6 \pm 1.2$           | $45.7\pm3.5$            | $41.7\pm3.0$                | $4.6\pm0.4$                   |
| Zinc level                          | 0                                | $66.2 \pm 10.2^{b}$  | $64.1\pm9.7$             | $3.8\pm0.5$         | $14.7\pm2.1$             | $28.4 \pm 5.0^{\circ}$  | $29.2 \pm 4.9^{b}$          | $4.2 \pm 0.3$                 |
|                                     | 15                               | $60.4 \pm 6.3^{b}$   | $58.2\pm5.0$             | $3.4\pm0.3$         | $14.1\pm1.2$             | $35.4 \pm 3.0^{bc}$     | $33.5 \pm 1.4^{b}$          | $3.7 \pm 0.5$                 |
|                                     | 45                               | $61.7 \pm 6.2^{b}$   | $84.7\pm8.7$             | $4.6\pm0.5$         | $18.5\pm3.6$             | $46.0 \pm 5.7^{b}$      | $50.0 \pm 7.3^{a}$          | $4.8\pm0.8$                   |
|                                     | 75                               | $107.2 \pm 6.5^{a}$  | $71.8 \pm 6.5$           | $4.0\pm0.5$         | $16.4\pm2.9$             | $40.0 \pm 5.0^{\rm bc}$ | $37.2 \pm 2.7^{b}$          | $4.3 \pm 0.8$                 |
|                                     | 105                              | $102.8 \pm 15.8^{a}$ | $72.6\pm4.9$             | $4.8\pm0.5$         | $14.1\pm1.6$             | $64.3\pm3.8^a$          | $37.1 \pm 4.0^{b}$          | $4.0\pm0.8$                   |
|                                     | 135                              | $93.7 \pm 6.9^{a}$   | $61.0\pm7.3$             | $3.6\pm0.2$         | $13.2\pm2.0$             | $47.7 \pm 6.9^{ab}$     | $39.2 \pm 2.0^{b}$          | $6.1 \pm 0.9$                 |
| Source                              |                                  | 0.020                | 0.667                    | 0.489               | 0.096                    | 0.304                   | 0.004                       | 0.955                         |
| Level                               |                                  | 0.000                | 0.180                    | 0.158               | 0.527                    | 0.001                   | 0.003                       | 0.208                         |
| $\text{Source} \times \text{level}$ |                                  | 0.015                | 0.335                    | 0.137               | 0.352                    | 0.319                   | 0.064                       | 0.567                         |

SOD, superoxide dismutase; CAT, catalase; GSH-PX, glutathione peroxidase; TBARs, thiobarbituric acid reactive substance.

Values (means  $\pm$  S.E.M.) in the same column sharing a common superscript letter were not significantly different (P > 0.05).

significantly higher serum SOD activity than those fed Zn–M diets. However, fish fed Zn–M diets displayed significantly higher GSH-PX activity in the liver than those fed Zn–S diets.

# present study. These anti-nutritional substances may have inhibited zinc availability leading to a higher requirement for zinc (Apines et al., 2003; Gatlin and Phillips, 1989).

#### 4. Discussion

Previous studies have shown that the zinc requirements of fish fed practical diets were higher than those fed semi-purified diets (Gatlin and Wilson, 1983, 1984; Satoh et al., 1987). One of the reasons is that the anti-nutritional substances in ingredients of practical diets, such as tricalcium phosphate and phytate, inhibit zinc availability in fish (Apines et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 1985; Satoh et al., 1989). Typically, 60–70% fish meal and 10–15% plant products are used in the commercial feeds for turbot in China. These feeds contain approximately 2–5% calcium and 0.3–0.5% phytate. Hence, in the present study, 2% of tricalcium phosphate and 0.5% of sodium phytate were added to the experimental semi-purified diets to achieve levels similar to those seen in commercial diets.

In the present study, growth response, bone zinc concentration and antioxidant response were improved by dietary Zn–S or Zn–M supplementation. It is suggested that turbot can utilize the chelated Zn as a dietary zinc source. Positive effects of chelated zinc (Mintrex<sup>™</sup> Zn) on growth and bone zinc deposition were also observed in broiler chickens (Yuan et al., 2011). In the present study, low growth performance was observed in fish fed zinc-deficient diet (basal diet). Unlike in rainbow trout (Ogino and Yang, 1978), however, no eye cataracts or skin erosion was observed. The basal diet in the Ogino and Yang (1978) trial had far lower levels of Zn than the present study (1 versus 36 mg/kg). This is more likely to have been the main factor why negative health related effects of Zn deficiency were noted in rainbow trout versus the turbot in the present study.

In the present study, broken-line regression analysis of SGR data indicated that the dietary zinc requirement of juvenile turbot was 60.2 mg/kg (Fig. 1). These results are higher than those reported in some other aquatic animals, such as rainbow trout (15–30 mg/kg diet) (Ogino and Yang, 1978), Nile tilapia (30–44.5 mg/kg diet) (Do Carmo E Sá et al., 2004; Eid and Ghonim, 1994), grass shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) (32 mg/kg diet) (Shiau and Jiang, 2006). In addition to the species difference, one of the reasons could be the presence of tricalcium phosphate and phytate supplemented into diets in the

The role of tissue zinc deposition in the animal is important. Whenever necessary, these tissues quickly provide zinc to the organism. In the present study, whole body zinc concentrations increased with the increasing of dietary zinc levels. These results are in agreement with previous studies on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) (Maage and Julshamn, 1993), rainbow trout (Kucukbay et al., 2006), grass shrimp (Shiau and Jiang, 2006) and abalone (Tan and Mai, 2001). As demonstrated by Jeng and Sun (1981) in common carp, zinc firstly accumulated in the digestive tract, followed by skeletal tissues, and then skin and muscle. Meanwhile, previous studies showed that zinc was mainly stored in the bone and liver. Therefore, zinc levels in these organs were the useful indices for evaluating zinc status (Apines et al., 2003). This was confirmed with tissue zinc from the present study (Table 3). In the present study, the bone zinc concentration increased and then plateaued with increasing zinc supplemented level. This was agreed with previous studies on channel catfish, Nile tilapia and hybrid striped bass (Buentello et al., 2009; Do Carmo E Sá et al., 2004; Gatlin and Wilson, 1984). However, zinc concentrations in the muscle, liver and serum did not reflect zinc intake like those in whole body and bone in the present study. Besides bone, zinc increased in whole body may be related to the zinc increased in the skin, scale, blood cell, gill and viscera except the liver, which were effective organs for zinc deposition in fish (Jeng and Sun, 1981; Sun and Jeng, 1998). Further study is needed.

The present study found no significant difference in zinc bioavailability between the two zinc sources. Similar results have been reported in pig (Swinkels et al., 1996) and tilapia (Zhao et al., 2011). However, some studies reported that the bioavailability of zinc from organic zinc was better than inorganic zinc for birds (Wedekind et al., 1992; Yuan et al., 2011), channel catfish (Paripatananont and Lovell, 1995) or abalone (Tan and Mai, 2001). The difference could be related to different species, diet types or chelated zinc sources (Do Carmo E Sá et al., 2005).

Zinc plays an important role in enhancing antioxidant status and decreasing lipid peroxidation (Anderson et al., 2001). In general, antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, CAT and GSH-PX, are the main constituents of an organism's antioxidant system (Mallick and Mohn, 2000). Superoxide dismutase is the first enzyme to respond against oxygen radicals (Winston and Di Giulio, 1991). In the present study, serum

SOD activities were significantly increased by dietary zinc, regardless of the zinc sources. This could be due to the fact that zinc is a component of Cu-Zn-SOD (Shiau and Jiang, 2006). A similar trend for GSH-PX activity in the serum and liver was also found in the present study and is similar to that observed in Jian carp (Feng et al., 2011). In addition, in the present study, crude lipid contents in the whole body were significantly increased as dietary zinc supplementation increasing from 0 mg/kg to 75 mg/kg. This could be due to the increase in anti-oxidative activity in turbot (e.g., SOD and GSH-PX activities), which decreased lipid peroxidation (Mallick and Mohn, 2000). Kucukbay et al. (2006) reported that the serum, liver and whole body malondialdehyde (MDA) concentrations in rainbow trout linearly decreased with dietary zinc supplementations. However, in the present study, liver TBARs concentrations were not significantly influenced by dietary zinc supplementations, regardless of the zinc sources. At this time point, it is difficult to explain why there were different influences of dietary zinc on MDA concentrations between rainbow trout and turbot. Further studies are needed to explain the relationship between zinc and lipid oxidation in fish.

#### 5. Conclusion

Supplementation of dietary chelated or inorganic zinc improved the growth, feed utilization, bone zinc deposition and anti-oxidant responses of turbot. There was no significant difference in the zinc bio-availability between the two zinc sources. Based on SGR, the dietary zinc requirement of juvenile turbot was estimated to be 60.2 mg/kg.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31072219). Mintrex™ Zn and Mera™ Met were obtained from Novus International Inc. for free. In addition, Anant S. Bharadwaj and Fengjie Ji participated in the experiment design and manuscript revision. There was no any potential conflict of interest. The authors would like to thank Xiaojie Wang, Qingchao Wang, Songlin Li, Haibin Hu, DanDan Xu, Qin Nie, Wei Jiang, Yingchun Lv, Wenlei Liu and Liqin Cui for their kind support during this study.

#### References

- Anderson, R.A., Roussel, A.M., Zouari, N., Mahjoub, S., Matheau, J.M., Kerkeni, A., 2001. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 20, 212–218.
- Apines, M.J., Satoh, S., Kiron, V., Watanabe, T., Nasu, N., Fujita, S., 2001. Bioavailability of amino acids chelated and glass embedded zinc to rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus* mykiss, fingerlings. Aquac. Nutr. 7, 221–228.
- Apines, M.J., Satoh, S., Kiron, V., Watanabe, T., Aoki, T., 2003. Availability of supplemental amino acid-chelated trace elements in diets containing tricalcium phosphate and phytate to rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Aquaculture 225, 431–444.
- Ashmead, H., 1993. The Roles of Amino Acid Chelates in Animal Nutrition. Noyes Publications, New Jersey 419.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), 1995. Official Methods of Analysis of Official Analytical Chemists International, 16th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA.
- Buentello, J.A., Goff, J.B., Gatlin, D.M., 2009. Dietary zinc requirement of hybrid striped bass, *Morone chrysops × Morone saxatilis*, and bioavailability of two chemically different zinc compounds. J. World Aquacult. Soc. 40, 687–694.
- Davis, D.A., Lawrence, A.L., Gatlin, D.M., 1993. Evaluation of the dietary zinc requirement of *Penaeus vannamei* and effects of phytic acid on zinc and phosphorus bioavailability. J. World Aquacult. Soc. 24, 40–47.
- Dibner, J.J., 2005. Early nutrition of zinc and copper in chicks and poults: impact on growth and immune function. Proc. 3rd Mid-Atlantic Nutrition Conference, Timonium, MD, USA, pp. 23–32.
- Do Carmo E Sá, M.V., Pezzato, L.E., Barros, M.M., De MagalhÃEs Padilha, P., 2004. Optimum zinc supplementation level in Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* juveniles diets. Aquaculture 238, 385–401.

- Do Carmo E Sá, M.V., Pezzato, L.E., Barros, M.M., De MagalhÃEs Padilha, P., 2005. Relative bioavailability of zinc in supplemental inorganic and organic sources for Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus fingerlings. Aquac. Nutr. 11, 273–281.
- Eid, A.E., Ghonim, S.I., 1994. Dietary zinc requirement of fingerling Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture 119, 259–264.
- Feng, L., Tan, L.N., Liu, Y., Jiang, J., Jiang, W.D., Hu, K., Li, S.H., Zhou, X.Q., 2011. Influence of dietary zinc on lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation and antioxidant defence of juvenile Jian carp (*Cyprinus carpio* var. Jian). Aquac. Nutr. 17, 875–882.
- Gatlin, D.M., Phillips, H.F., 1989. Dietary calcium, phytate and zinc interactions in channel catfish. Aquaculture 79, 259–266.
- Gatlin, D.M., Wilson, R.P., 1983. Dietary zinc requirement of fingerling channel catfish. J. Nutr. 113, 630.
- Gatlin, D.M., Wilson, R.P., 1984. Zinc supplementation of practical channel catfish diets. Aquaculture 41, 31–36.
- Jeng, S.S., Sun, L.T., 1981. Effects of dietary zinc levels on zinc concentrations in tissues of common carp. J. Nutr. 111, 134.
- Kucukbay, Z., Yazlak, H., Sahin, N., Tuzcu, M., Nuri Cakmak, M., Gurdogan, F., Juturu, V., Sahin, K., 2006. Zinc picolinate supplementation decreases oxidative stress in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture 257, 465–469.
- Maage, A., Julshamn, K., 1993. Assessment of zinc status in juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) by measurement of whole body and tissue levels of zinc. Aquaculture 117, 179–191.
- Mallick, N., Mohn, F.H., 2000. Reactive oxygen species: response of algal cells. J. Plant Physiol. 157, 183–193.
- NRC, 2011. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National Academy Press, Washiongton, DC 176.
- Ogino, O., Yang, G., 1978. Requirement of rainbow trout for dietary zinc. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 44, 1015–1018.
- Paripatananont, T., Lovell, R.T., 1995. Chelated zinc reduces the dietary zinc requirement of channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Aquaculture 133, 73–82.
- Pimentel, J.L., Cook, M.E., Greger, J.L., 1991. Research note: bioavailability of zinc-methionine for chicks. Poult. Sci. 70, 1637–1639.
- Richardson, N.L., Higgs, D.A., Beames, R.M., McBride, J.R., 1985. Influence of dietary calcium, phosphorus, zinc and sodium phytate level on cataract incidence, growth and histopathology in juvenile chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). J. Nutr. 115, 553–567.
- Robbins, K.R., 1986. A Method, SAS Program, and Example for Fitting the Broken-Line to Growth Data. University of Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station.
- Robbins, K.R., Norton, H.W., Baker, D.H., 1979. Estimation of nutrient requirements from growth data. J. Nutr. 109, 1710–1714.
- Satoh, S., Tabata, K., Izume, K., Takeuchi, T., Watanabe, T., 1987. Effect of dietary tricalcium phosphate on availability of zinc to rainbow trout [*Salmo gairdneri*]. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 53, 1199–1205.
- Satoh, S., Poe, W.E., Wilson, R.P., 1989. Effect of supplemental phytate and/or tricalcium phosphate on weight gain, feed efficiency and zinc content in vertebrae of channel catfish. Aquaculture 80, 155–161.
- Savolainen, L.C., Gatlin, D.M., 2010. Evaluation of sulfur amino acid and zinc supplements to soybean-meal-based diets for hybrid striped bass. Aquaculture 307, 260–265.
- Shiau, S.-Y., Jiang, L.-C., 2006. Dietary zinc requirements of grass shrimp, Penaeus monodon, and effects on immune responses. Aquaculture 254, 476–482.
- Sun, L.T., Jeng, S.S., 1998. Comparative zinc concentrations in tissues of common carp and other aquatic organisms. Zool. Stud. 37, 184–190.
- Swinkels, J.W., Kornegay, E.T., Zhou, W., Lindemann, M.D., Webb, K.E., Verstegen, M.W., 1996. Effectiveness of a zinc amino acid chelate and zinc sulfate in restoring serum and soft tissue zinc concentrations when fed to zinc-depleted pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 74, 2420–2430.
- Tan, B., Mai, K., 2001. Zinc methionine and zinc sulfate as sources of dietary zinc for juvenile abalone, *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino. Aquaculture 192, 67–84.
- Watanabe, T., Kiron, V., Satoh, S., 1997. Trace minerals in fish nutrition. Aquaculture 151, 185–207.
- Wedekind, K.J., Hortin, A.E., Baker, D.H., 1992. Methodology for assessing zinc bioavailability: efficacy estimates for zinc-methionine, zinc sulfate, and zinc oxide. J. Anim. Sci. 70, 178–187.
- Wedekind, K.J., Lewis, A.J., Giesemann, M.A., Miller, P.S., 1994. Bioavailability of zinc from inorganic and organic sources for pigs fed corn-soybean meal diets. J. Anim. Sci. 72, 2681–2689.
- Winston, G.W., Di Giulio, R.T., 1991. Prooxidant and antioxidant mechanisms in aquatic organisms. Aquat. Toxicol. 19, 137–161.
- Yi, G.F., Atwell, C.A., Hume, J.A., Dibner, J.J., Knight, C.D., Richards, J.D., 2007. Determining the methionine activity of Mintrex organic trace minerals in broiler chicks by using radiolabel tracing or growth assay. Poult. Sci. 86, 877–887.
- Yuan, J., Xu, Z., Huang, C., Zhou, S., Guo, Y., 2011. Effect of dietary Mintrex-Zn/Mn on performance, gene expression of Zn transfer proteins, activities of Zn/Mn related enzymes and fecal mineral excretion in broiler chickens. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 168, 72–79.
- Zhao, H.X., Cao, J.M., Liu, X.H., Zhu, X., Chen, S.C., Lan, H.B., Wang, A.L., 2011. Effect of supplemental dietary zinc sources on the growth and carbohydrate utilization of tilapia Smith 1840, Oreochromis niloticus × Oreochromis aureus. Aquac. Nutr. 17, 64–72.