J. Ocean Univ. China (Oceanic and Coastal Sea Research) https://doi.org/10.1007/s11802-021-4768-2 ISSN 1672-5182, 2021 20 (6): 1505-1514 http://www.ouc.edu.cn/xbywb/ E-mail:xbywb@ouc.edu.cn

Polymorphism in the *Ras* and β -*Glucosidase* Genes and Their Association with Growth Traits in the Pacific Oyster *Crassostrea gigas*

HAN Ziqiang¹⁾, CONG Rihao¹⁾, and LI Qi^{1), 2), *}

1) Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China

2) Laboratory for Marine Fisheries Science and Food Production Processes, Qingdao National Laboratory for

Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao 266237, China

(Received September 16, 2020; revised December 1, 2020; accepted March 2, 2021) © Ocean University of China, Science Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2021

Abstract The *Ras* gene, a conserved member of the insulin pathway, and β -glucosidase gene, an important cellulase, are two important growth-related genes. However, there is no study on the association between mutations of these two genes and growth traits in bivalves. Here, the polymorphism of these two genes in *Crassostrea gigas* were revealed. Their association with growth traits was evaluated in 290 oysters from five families, and was further confirmed in another 186 oysters from three fast-growing strains. Seventeen and twelve SNPs were identified in the *Ras* gene and β -glucosidase gene, respectively. Among these SNPs, four SNPs in each gene (*Ras*: C.86C>A, C.90T>C, C.112A>G and C.118G>A; β -glucosidase: C.247G>A, C.284C>T, C.1260C>T and C.1293T>C) were significantly (*P*<0.05) associated with the growth of these oysters. Furthermore, eight and nine haplotypes were constructed in the *Ras* gene and β -glucosidase gene, respectively. Oysters with both haplotypes R-Hap5 (CCAA) and β -Hap7 (ACCT), or with both R-Hap 6 (ATGG) and β -Hap 6 (ACTC), or with both R-Hap 6 and β -Hap 9 (ACTT), or with both R-Hap 7, showed the highest growth performances. These results provide candidate markers for selecting *C. gigas* with fast growth.

Key words *Crassostrea gigas*; growth; SNP; *Ras*; β -glucosidase

1 Introduction

The Pacific oyster (Crassostrea gigas), which was once endemic to China, Japan and Korean, is now one of the most widely farmed aquaculture species worldwide due to its strong adaptability (Miossec et al., 2009). However, the production and profitability of C. gigas has been limited, as world production is mainly based on un-improved populations or stocks (Gjedrem et al., 2012; Gjedrem and Rye, 2018). With the development of efficient hatchery technique, many breeding programs of C. gigas have been established in many countries (Ward et al., 2000; Langdon et al., 2003; Dégremont et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011). Fast growth, as one of the traits that directly affects the production and profitability, is the preferred target trait in almost all breeding programs (Ward et al., 2000; Langdon et al., 2003; Li et al., 2011). Compared with the selection only relying on phenotype, incorporating genome information into selective breeding has become an important aspect to enhance the efficiency of selection (Hollenbeck and Johnston, 2018).

Recently, a lot of candidate genes associated with growth traits have been revealed in scallop (Sun *et al.*, 2020), mus-

sel (Prieto et al., 2019) and clam (Saavedra et al., 2017) with the rapid development of genome resources. Many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in these candidate genes, such as alpha-amylase gene (Huang et al., 2016), myostatin gene (Niu et al, 2015; Fan et al., 2017), longchain fatty acid-CoA ligases gene (Dai et al., 2015), zinc finger transcription factor (Yang et al., 2020) and insulinlike growth factor (Feng et al., 2014; Ning et al., 2018) have been identified associated with growth traits. For C. gigas, however, only amylase gene (Huvet et al., 2008), bHLH gene (Chen et al., 2020) and a few genes from insulin family (Cong et al., 2013, 2014; Moon and Choi, 2019) have been reported to have growth-related mutations. Considering the complexity of the genetic mechanism underling growth traits and the growth performances are affected by a series of processes, including the food acquisition and absorption, energy conversion and distribution, etc., it is necessary to further clarify the relationship between more genes and growth and their role in growth, which will be helpful to select C. gigas with fast growth.

The insulin family is widely distributed and highly conserved in vertebrates and invertebrates, and plays critical roles in regulating development, reproduction, growth and carbohydrate metabolism (Gricourt *et al.*, 2006; Schlueter *et al.*, 2007; Zhang and He, 2020). In *C. gigas*, several genes from the insulin-like family, such as insulin recep-

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: qili66@ouc.edu.cn

tor-related receptor (Cong *et al.*, 2014), insulin-related peptide (Cong *et al.*, 2013; Shi *et al.*, 2013), insulin growth factor binding protein (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Choi *et al.*, 2018), have been identified as being associated with growth traits. *Ras* gene, as a conserved member of the insulin family, plays an important role in regulating cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis (Ciocan *et al.*, 2006). It has been cloned and identified to be involved in carcinogenesis in *Mytilus trossulus* (Ciocan *et al.*, 2006) and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lima *et al.*, 2008). In *C. gigas, Ras* gene is mainly expressed in mantle edges and visceral ganglia, and is overexpressed during the tissue rebuilding stage in the storage tissue, which indicates that this gene may be related to the regulation of growth (Jouaux *et al.*, 2012).

C. gigas is a filter-feeder whose natural diet is mainly phytoplankton. An important component of phytoplankton is cellulose, which is a macromolecular polysaccharide composed of glucose (Watanabe and Tokuda, 2001). Cellulose needs to be degraded into monosaccharide under the catalysis of cellulase, before it can be absorbed by organisms (Beguin and Aubert, 1994). Cellulase is not only widely distributed in protozoa, bacteria, fungi, and plants, but also in many aquatic invertebrates (Tanimura et al., 2013). The β -glucosidase, an important cellulase, plays a critical role in the cellulose degradation (Lynd et al., 2002). In bivalves, endogenous β -glucosidase has been identified in Corbicula japonica (Sakamoto et al., 2009) and C. gigas (Liu, 2012). It is expressed only in the digestive glands, suggesting that it might be related to the digestive and absorption functions (Liu, 2012).

In order to determine whether *Ras* and β -glucosidase genes can be used as indicators in breeding program for *C*. gigas, this study explored the polymorphisms of these two genes and analyzed their association with growth traits in different families and fast-growing strains of *C*. gigas.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Animals and Traits

In June 2009, 54 full-sib families were established with each male mating to three females, using 80 wild *C. gigas* collected from Rushan Bay, Shandong province, China as parents (Cong *et al.*, 2013, 2014). In March 2011, five families (55 to 60 oysters per family) were randomly selected from these 54 families, and 290 oysters were randomly selected from these five families for preliminary association analysis.

Three selected strains of *C. gigas*, strain C, strain J and strain K, were initiated in 2007 in our breeding program targeting at fast growth. The oysters collected from three stocks in Rushan in Shandong province, China (36.4°N, 121.3°E), Onagawa Bay in Miyagi Prefecture, Japan (38.3°N, 141.3°E), and Pusan, South Korea (35.1°N, 129.1°E) as parents, respectively (Li *et al.*, 2011). In 2008, the secondgeneration of these three strains were established with oysters collected from the first-generation of strain C (30 × 31 cross, selection intensity=1.872), strain J (34×36 cross, selection intensity=1.870) and strain K (30×35 cross, selection intensity = 1.728) as parents, respectively (Wang *et al.*, 2012). In July 2009, ten females and ten males were selected from each strain as parents to generate the third generation of strain C, strain J and strain K. After four-teen months, 62 oysters were randomly selected from each strain for verifying analysis.

The adductor muscles of 290 oysters from these five families and 186 oysters from these three strains were obtained and stored in -20° C for extracting DNA. Shell height, shell length and shell width of 476 oysters were measured using an electronic vernier caliper (0.01 mm), and body weight and soft-tissue weight were weighed using an electronic balance (0.01 g).

2.2 DNA Extraction and Primer Design

Genomic DNA of each sample was extracted by using the phenol-chloroform method (Li *et al.*, 2002). PCR primers were designed by Primer Premier 5.0 according to the cDNA sequence of *Ras* gene and β -glucosidase gene (Table 1). The cDNA sequence of *Ras* gene (1145 bp, see Fig.1) was amplified by primers 5'-CCCGTCCTCATGT ACTGGTC-3' and 5'-ATCTTGGATACGGCAGGTCA-3' reported by Jouaux *et al.* (2012), while the cDNA sequence of β -glucosidase gene has been reported by Liu (2012).

2.3 SNP Genotyping

A total of 476 oysters were genotyped using the singlestrand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) technique and confirmed by random sequencing as described by Cong et al. (2014). Briefly, 5 µL of each PCR product was added to 10 µL denaturing buffer (98% formamide, 0.09% xylene cyanole FF, and 0.09% bromophenol blue). These samples were denatured at 94°C for 5 min and then immediately placed on ice for 10 min. Electrophoresis of the denatured DNA was performed in 8%-12% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel with 120 V for 12–14 h at 4°C. Finally, SSCP patterns on the gels were visualized by silver staining (Ou et al., 2005). In order to confirm these genotypes obtained by SSCP, the ABI 3730 sequencer (Applied Biosystems) was used to sequence more than three individual PCR products with the same SSCP pattern in both directions.

2.4 Association Analysis

The association analysis included the preliminary association analysis between SNPs and growth traits using oysters from families, and verification of growth-related SNPs using oysters from three strains. First, the polymorphisms of both *Ras* gene and β -glucosidase gene were analyzed with the parents of five oyster families. According to the polymorphisms of these two genes in the parents, the families that need to be genotyped were determined, and a preliminary association analysis was preformed to screen out potential SNPs associated with growth traits. Then the association between these SNPs and growth traits were verified in three fast-growing strains. Finally, haplotypes based on verified growth-related SNPs were constructed to further verify their correlation with growth traits of *C. gigas*.

		-		-	
Gene	Name	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Location	Length (bp)	Annealing temp. (°C)
	R2	AACAGCTTCATCAATGTTACAG	9-205	197	53
	112	ATTTCAAGAGTTGCTTGGATA			
	R/	CACCACCAATCCTATACATAG	557-658	102	56
Ras	174	CTAACCAGGTTTGACTGAAC			
Nus	D6	TCAAACCTGGTTAGCCTGCTC	645-813	169	60
	KO	CCCTCCTTATCTCCACCTCC			
	DО	GAGGTGGAGATAAGGAGGG	817-1031	215	55
	Ко	TTGGTGTAATTGATAATAAACG			
	C1	GGACGGAACAACGAAATAC	189-374	186	54
	GI	TCGGCATACTCTTGGAAAT			
	C2	CCTTCAATGAGCCCTGGAT	419-525	107	57
	G2	GATGTCATGCGACACGATG			
0 1 .1	C 2	TGACATCATCAAAGCCCACG	519-700	182	51
β-glucosidase	63	GAGCGAACCAACCAAAGTCGA			
	C1	GGGTCTGGTTCAGGTTGG	958-1058	101	58
	64	TCCGTTACATACACTGGCATA			
	65	ATTCGGCCTGCATTATGTC	1233-1379	147	55
	63	GCTCCGAGTTTATTAGCATTT			

Table 1 Primers for analysis of SNPs in the Ras and β -glucosidase genes in C. gigas

1 GCGAATGTAACAGCTTCATCAATGTTACAGGGGTGTTGATCATATCAGCTGCATCTCTGT TACAGGGGTATAAAATTACTGTTTACAGTYTCAGGTTTAATTCTCTAGACCRTTACCGTA 61 AG C T ATGAATTTTTAATCTAGCTCACTCATAGGTTCAAAAAATGAATAGTCATCCTCTACAGTA 121 GCTGTATCCAAGCAACTCTTGAAATTTAGGACAAATTGTGTGTTACAATTTGATATTGAT 181 241 TAATCATACATGATGTTGCAAATTTTCTTTCCTAATTGCTTTTTGGGAAAAAGAAATTAGCC TGGCATTTCTAAAACTCTCTGTCTGGTGTCACAGTTTAATGCATGAAATGATTGGATGCA 301 AATCTGTTTACACTGCAGCAGCTCCCCTTTTCAAAATGAAATAAAATTCTCTGATAATGA 361 421 TGAACATGACCCAATATCCTGCTCAGGAAGTTGTTGAGTAAGACAAACACAAGTGGCAGC 481 CCAGTGGTGAATAATTCACCACCAATCCTATACATAGAGGTTCAAACGTTTCACCCTGAG 541 AAACTGTTATTGGTACAAGGTTTCWCTAAATTGATCATGTTCAGTCAAACCTGGTTAGCC 601 TGCTCCCTGAGGATGATCAGAAAAATTTTGTTAGCTGGAATCTAGAGTTTATCTAATTATA 661 GAAAATGGGCATCATTTTAAGGATTTWATAGTAAGCGGCCTCCTTTATATTTTTTCTATC 721 781 GGGTTGTTAGAGACACTCATCAAATGTCACAGTGTGTAAAAATTCTCCATTGAAGAATCT 841 ACATTCTTATTACATGARTCATGATTTTACATCTGTACATTATATCTTGAATATAAGTTG 901 1081 CCTCATGTCATGTTAAAATATAATTTGATATGGAAAAAACCCCTAATTATTCCAGTTCAT 1141 GATAT

Fig.1 Distribution of 17 SNPs in the Ras gene of Crassostrea gigas.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The association between SNPs and growth traits were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS v8.2 (SAS Institute Inc.). The significance of the differences between genotypes or between haplotypes was analyzed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison, and the significance of the differences was P < 0.05.

The model of ANOVA for the genotype or haplotype of each growth trait is $y_{ij}=\mu+G_i$ or H_i+e_{ij} , where y_{ij} is the observed value of *j*th individual of genotype or haplotype *i*; μ is the mean of observed values; G_i is the fixed effects of the genotype *i*; H_i is the fixed effects of the haplotype *i*; and e_{ij} is the random residual effect corresponding to the observed values. No other effects such as generation and site were taken in these analyses, because all the oysters of preliminary association analysis or verified analysis were cultured under the same condition and sampled at the same age.

The allelic frequency, heterozygosity and polymorphism information content (PIC) were calculated using an online software PowerMarker v3.25 (http://statgen.ncsu.edu/power marker/).

3 Results

3.1 SNP Identification

For *Ras* gene, a total of seventeen SNPs, including C.63C >T, C.86C>A, C.87A>G, C.90T>C, C.92C>A, C.112A >G, C.118G>A, C.625T>A, C.642C>T, C.672G>A, C.675G>A, C.747T>A, C.775T>A, C.786G>A, C.918G >A, C.949G>A and C.953A>G, were detected in the 669 bp coding sequence amplified by these four pairs of primers (Table 1). In addition, twelve SNPs, including C.247G

> A, C.270C > A, C.276C > T, C.284C > T, C.288C > T, C.301C > T, C.352G > C, C.1260C > T, C.1272G > A, C.1287G > A, C.1293T > C and C.1301C > T were identified in the 716 bp coding sequence of β -glucosidase gene amplified by these five pairs of primers (Table 1).

3.2 Preliminary Association Analysis Between SNPs and Growth Traits

Among the seventeen SNPs locating in *Ras* gene, four SNPs were determined to be significantly (P < 0.05) related to at least one of the five growth traits by preliminary association analysis in five families (Table 2). At C.86C>A, oysters with genotypes AA or AC were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype CC in shell height, shell length, body weight and soft-tissue weight. Similarly, significant (P < 0.05) differences in these four growth traits were also observed at C.112A>G and C.118G>A. At C.112A>G, oysters with genotypes AA were significant-

Table 2 Association between SNPs in Ras and β -alucosidase genes and growth traits in five families of C is	rigas
Table 2 Association between SIVES in Kas and p-gracostause genes and growth trans in five families of C. g	jigus

$ \frac{SNP}{P_{-value}} = \frac{Shell height}{(mm)} + \frac{Shell lheight}{(mm)} + \frac{Shell view}{(mm)} + \frac{Shell view}{($, ,	-	-		00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S	NP	Genotype	Number	Shell height	Shell length	Shell width	Body weight	Soft-tissue weight
$\beta \cdot glucosidase \beta \cdot glucosidase \beta \cdot glucosidase \beta \cdot glucosidase C.267 \rightarrow A A A A A A A A A A $					(1111)	(1111)	(11111)	(g)	(g)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			AA	97	$72.09 \pm 10.60^{\circ}$	$45.04 \pm 6.83^{\circ}$	$23.77 \pm 4.84^{\circ}$	$37.56 \pm 14.51^{\circ\circ}$	5.05 ± 2.18^{a}
$\beta_{eglucosidase} \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		C.86C>A	AC	70	74.16 ± 9.98^{a}	45.26 ± 5.63^{a}	23.78 ± 4.29^{a}	38.32 ± 12.84^{a}	5.30 ± 2.20^{a}
$Ras \qquad \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			CC	45	$65.36 \pm 8.18^{\circ}$	$42.43 \pm 5.41^{\circ}$	22.67 ± 4.05^{a}	$31.87 \pm 10.41^{\circ}$	$4.12 \pm 1.39^{\circ}$
$B_{A} = B_{A} = B_{A$			P-value		0.000	0.020	0.310	0.035	0.007
$Ras = \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			TT	142	70.10 ± 10.30^{b}	44.22 ± 6.51^{a}	23.42 ± 4.62^{a}	37.01 ± 14.14^{a}	4.76 ± 2.01^{a}
$Ras \qquad \qquad P-value \qquad 0.007 \qquad 0.250 \qquad 0.579 \qquad 0.178 \qquad 0.074 \qquad 0.074 \\ AA \qquad 149 \qquad 73.30 \pm 10.72^{\circ} \qquad 45.24 \pm 6.51^{\circ} \qquad 23.86 \pm 4.69 \qquad 7.65 \pm 15.14^{\circ} \qquad 5.22 \pm 2.25^{\circ} \\ 31.80 \pm 9.35^{\circ} \qquad 4.22 \pm 1.45^{\circ} \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.014 \qquad 0.110 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.002 \\ 0.002 \qquad 0.002 \qquad 0.014 \qquad 0.110 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.002 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.014 \qquad 0.110 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.002 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.014 \qquad 0.110 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.002 \\ 0.118 \qquad 0.002 \qquad 0.317 \pm 4.84^{\circ} \qquad 37.56 \pm 14.51^{\circ} \qquad 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \\ 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \qquad 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \\ 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \qquad 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \\ AA \qquad 70 \qquad 74.16 \pm 9.89^{\circ} \qquad 45.26 \pm 5.63^{\circ} \qquad 23.77 \pm 4.84^{\circ} \qquad 37.56 \pm 14.51^{\circ} \qquad 5.05 \pm 2.18^{\circ} \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.20 \qquad 0.310 \qquad 0.035 \qquad 0.007 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.020 \qquad 0.310 \qquad 0.035 \qquad 0.007 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.20 \qquad 0.310 \qquad 0.035 \qquad 0.007 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.020 \qquad 0.310 \qquad 0.035 \qquad 0.007 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.01 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.04 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.04 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.003 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001 \\ P-value \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.004 \\ P-value \qquad 0.003 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.004 \\ P-value \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \\ P-value \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \\ P-value \qquad 0.003 \qquad 0.04 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.010 \\ 0.010 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.004 \qquad 0.150 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.005 \qquad 0.040 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.005 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.010 \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.020 \\ P-value \qquad$		C.90T>C	TC	70	74.16 ± 9.98^{a}	45.26 ± 5.63^{a}	23.78 ± 4.29^{a}	38.32 ± 12.84^{a}	5.30 ± 2.20^{a}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D		P-value		0.007	0.250	0.579	0.178	0.074
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kas		AA	149	73.30 ± 10.72^{a}	45.24 ± 6.51^{a}	23.86 ± 4.69^{a}	37.65 ± 15.14^{a}	5.22 ± 2.25^{a}
$\beta_{-glucosidase} \begin{bmatrix} P-value & 0.00 & 0.014 & 0.110 & 0.005 & 0.002 \\ GG & 97 & 72.09\pm10.60^a & 45.04\pm6.83^a & 23.77\pm4.84^a & 37.56\pm14.51^a & 5.05\pm2.18^a \\ GA & 70 & 74.16\pm9.98^a & 45.26\pm5.63^a & 23.78\pm4.29^a & 38.32\pm12.84^a & 5.30\pm2.20^a \\ AA & 45 & 65.36\pm8.18^b & 42.43\pm5.41^b & 22.67\pm4.05^a & 31.87\pm10.41^b & 4.12\pm1.39^b \\ P-value & 0.000 & 0.02 & 0.305 & 0.007 \\ GG & 109 & 73.40\pm10.67^a & 46.69\pm6.49^a & 24.33\pm4.60^{a,b} & 41.16\pm15.10^a & 5.59\pm2.07^a \\ AA & 13 & 66.56\pm11.73^b & 46.64\pm7.77^{a,b} & 25.81\pm5.13^a & 39.32\pm24.99^{a,b} & 4.85\pm3.36^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 13 & 66.56\pm11.73^b & 46.64\pm7.77^{a,b} & 25.81\pm5.13^a & 39.32\pm24.99^{a,b} & 4.85\pm3.36^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 17 & 77.00\pm10.33^b & 43.00\pm6.29^b & 23.01\pm3.88^b & 32.62\pm12.16^b & 4.35\pm1.83^b \\ TT & 26 & \frac{69.64\pm}{11.28^{a,b}} & 45.04\pm6.74^a & 23.8\pm4.48^a & 36.87\pm15.14^4 & 4.97\pm2.16^a \\ 4.77^{a,b} & 25.23\pm5.50^a & 38.56\pm19.82^{a,b} & 5.08\pm2.88^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.15 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 240 & 71.92\pm10.71^a & 45.04\pm6.74^a & 23.8\pm4.48^a & 36.87\pm15.14^4 & 4.97\pm2.16^a \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.15 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42\pm10.64^a & 44.87\pm6.60^a & 23.87\pm2.74^a & 31.9\pm8.65^b & 3.98\pm1.04^b \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.15 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42\pm10.64^a & 44.87\pm6.60^a & 23.87\pm2.74^a & 31.9\pm8.65^b & 3.98\pm1.04^b \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.15 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42\pm10.64^a & 44.87\pm6.60^a & 22.87\pm2.74^a & 31.9\pm8.65^b & 3.98\pm1.04^b \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98\pm9.88^a & 46.04\pm6.56^a & 24.49\pm4.82^a & 39.99\pm12.86^a & 5.47\pm2.02^a \\ C.1260C>T & CT & 28 & 67.80\pm8.8^b & 42.56\pm6.06^a & 22.87\pm2.74^a & 31.39\pm8.65^b & 3.98\pm1.04^b \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98\pm9.88^a & 46.04\pm6.56^a & 24.49\pm4.82^a & 39.99\pm12.86^a & 5.47\pm2.02^a \\ C.1260C>T & TT & 75 & 73.91\pm10.12^a & 46.20\pm6.31^a & 24.7\pm3.44^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.25\pm1.26^b \\ C.1293T>C & 60 & 77.39\pm10.71^a & 45.04\pm6.56^a & 24.49\pm$		C.112A>G	GA	63	67.02 ± 7.88^{b}	42.94 ± 5.27^{b}	22.79 ± 3.98^{a}	31.80 ± 9.35^{b}	4.27 ± 1.45^{b}
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{C.118G} > \text{A} & \begin{array}{c} \text{GA} & 70 \\ \text{GA} & 70 \\ \text{AA} & 45 \\ P-\text{value} & \begin{array}{c} \text{O} \text{O} \text{O} \text{O} & 45.04\pm6.83^{\text{a}} \\ 45.26\pm5.63^{\text{a}} \\ 23.78\pm4.29^{\text{a}} \\ 38.32\pm12.84^{\text{a}} \\ 38.32\pm12.84^{\text{a}} \\ 5.05\pm2.18^{\text{a}} \\ 5.05\pm2.18^{\text{a}} \\ 5.05\pm2.18^{\text{a}} \\ 5.05\pm2.0^{\text{a}} \\ 31.87\pm10.41^{\text{b}} \\ 4.12\pm1.39^{\text{b}} \\ 4.12\pm1.39^{\text{b}} \\ 0.000 \\ 0.020 \\ 0.310 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.0$			P-value		0.000	0.014	0.110	0.005	0.002
$ \begin{array}{c} C.118G > A \\ C.118G > A \\ A \\ A \\ P-value \\ C.247G > A \\ C.257A > T \\ C.246C > T \\ C.246$			GG	97	72.09 ± 10.60^{a}	45.04 ± 6.83^{a}	23.77 ± 4.84^{a}	37.56 ± 14.51^{a}	5.05 ± 2.18^{a}
C.118G>A AA A5 A4 A5 A5 B-value C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A5 C.247G>A A7 C.257A>T A7 C.257A A7 C			GA	70	72.09 ± 10.00 74.16 ± 0.08^{a}	45.04 ± 0.03	23.77 ± 4.04 23.78 ± 4.20 ^a	37.30 ± 14.31 38 32 + 12 84 ^a	5.03 ± 2.10^{a}
$\beta - glucosidase \begin{array}{c} AA & 4.3 & 0.3.0^{-1} 6.13 & 42.43 \pm 3.41 & 22.01 \pm 4.03 & 31.01 \pm 10.41 & 4.12 \pm 1.39 \\ P-value & 0.000 & 0.020 & 0.310 & 0.035 & 0.007 \\ GG & 109 & 73.40 \pm 10.67^{a} & 46.69 \pm 6.49 & 24.33 \pm 4.60^{ab} & 41.16 \pm 15.10^{a} & 5.59 \pm 2.07^{a} \\ GA & 168 & 69.69 \pm 10.01^{b} & 43.16 \pm 6.16^{b} & 23.07 \pm 4.05^{b} & 32.51 \pm 11.59^{b} & 4.33 \pm 1.74^{b} \\ AA & 13 & 66.56 \pm 11.73^{b} & 46.64 \pm 7.77^{ab} & 25.81 \pm 5.13^{a} & 39.32 \pm 24.99^{a,b} & 4.85 \pm 3.36^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 97 & 73.32 \pm 10.34^{a} & 47.12 \pm 6.19^{a} & 24.38 \pm 4.64^{a,b} & 41.34 \pm 14.59^{a} & 5.57 \pm 1.93^{a} \\ AT & 167 & 70.00 \pm 10.33^{b} & 43.00 \pm 6.29^{b} & 23.01 \pm 3.88^{b} & 32.62 \pm 12.16^{b} & 4.36 \pm 1.83^{b} \\ A.5 \pm 3.36^{a,b} & 6.77^{a,b} & 25.23 \pm 5.50^{a} & 38.56 \pm 19.82^{a,b} & 5.08 \pm 2.88^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ \rho-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ CC & 240 & 71.92 \pm 10.71^{a} & 45.04 \pm 6.74^{a} & 23.84 \pm 4.48^{a} & 36.87 \pm 15.14^{a} & 4.97 \pm 2.16^{a} \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42 \pm 10.64^{a} & 44.87 \pm 6.60^{a} & 23.75 \pm 4.50^{a} & 36.57 \pm 12.77^{a} & 4.92 \pm 2.11^{a} \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^{a} & 46.04 \pm 6.56^{a} & 24.49 \pm 4.82^{a} & 39.99 \pm 12.86^{a} & 5.47 \pm 2.02^{a} \\ C.1260C > T & CT & 28 & 67.80 \pm 8.58^{b} & 42.56 \pm 6.06^{a} & 23.75 \pm 4.50^{a} & 33.28 \pm 9.89^{b} & 4.16 \pm 1.41^{b} \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} & 46.20 \pm 6.31^{a} & 27.1 \pm 5.20^{a} & 42.89 \pm 13.99^{a} & 6.04 \pm 2.53^{a} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 174 & 71.49 & 74.21 \pm 74^{a} & 33.74 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 174 & 71.49 & 74.21 \pm 74^{a} & 33.74 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 174 & 71.49 & 74.47 & 74.460^{b} & 23.42 \pm 3.68^{b} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 174 & 71.49 & 74.47 & 47.64 & 63.87 & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 184 & 71.410 &$		C.118G>A		15	74.10 ± 9.98 65 26 $\pm 8.18^{b}$	43.20 ± 5.03	23.76 ± 4.29	38.32 ± 12.84 31.87 ± 10.41^{b}	5.50 ± 2.20 4.12 ± 1.30^{b}
$\beta - glucosidase \begin{bmatrix} P-value & 0.000 & 0.020 & 0.310 & 0.005 & 0.007 \\ GG & 109 & 73.40 \pm 10.67^a & 46.69\pm6.49^a & 24.33\pm4.60^{a,b} & 41.16\pm15.10^a & 5.59\pm2.07^a \\ 4.33\pm1.74^b & 4.33\pm1.74^b & 4.34\pm1.59^a & 32.51\pm11.59^b & 4.33\pm1.74^b \\ AA & 13 & 66.56\pm11.73^b & 46.64\pm7.77^a & 25.81\pm5.13^a & 39.32\pm24.99^{a,b} & 4.85\pm3.36^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 97 & 73.32\pm10.34^a & 47.12\pm6.19^a & 24.38\pm4.64^{a,b} & 41.34\pm14.59^a & 5.57\pm1.93^a \\ AT & 167 & 70.00\pm10.33^b & 43.00\pm6.29^b & 23.01\pm3.88^b & 32.62\pm12.16^b & 4.36\pm1.83^b \\ P-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ P-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ CC & 240 & 71.92\pm10.71^a & 45.04\pm6.74^a & 23.84\pm4.48^a & 36.87\pm15.14^a & 4.97\pm2.16^a \\ P-value & 0.040 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 240 & 71.92\pm10.71^a & 45.04\pm6.74^a & 23.84\pm4.48^a & 36.87\pm15.14^a & 4.97\pm2.16^a \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42\pm10.64^a & 44.87\pm6.60^a & 23.75\pm4.50^a & 36.57\pm12.77^a & 4.92\pm2.11^a \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98\pm9.88^a & 46.04\pm6.56^a & 22.87\pm2.74^a & 31.39\pm8.65^b & 3.98\pm1.04^b \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ CT & 28 & 69.24\pm9.31^a & 43.10\pm6.56^a & 23.42\pm3.24^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.25\pm1.26^b \\ TT & 17 & 66.02\pm8.45^b & 44.65^{\pm}.51^a & 23.42\pm3.24^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.25\pm1.26^b \\ TT & 17 & 66.02\pm8.45^b & 44.65^{\pm}.51^a & 22.87\pm3.24^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.25\pm1.26^b \\ TT & 17 & 66.02\pm8.45^b & 44.65^{\pm}.51^a & 22.87\pm3.24^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.25\pm1.26^b \\ TT & 17 & 66.02\pm8.45^b & 44.65^{\pm}.51^a & 22.87\pm4.96^a & 33.24\pm9.89^b & 4.16\pm1.41^b \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ TT & 75 & 73.91\pm10.12^a & 46.20\pm6.31^a & 24.71\pm5.20^a & 42.89\pm13.99^a & 6.04\pm2.35^a \\ C.1293T>C & CC & 44 & 71.92\pm10.71^a & 42.92\pm6.31^a & 24.92\pm3.24^a & 33.14\pm9.79^b & 4.52\pm1.26^b & 47.414 & 0.04 & 47.44\pm48^a & 33.31\pm9.77^b & 4.52\pm1.48^c & 37.41\pm10^b $			AA B valua	45	05.30 ± 0.18	42.43 ± 3.41	22.07 ± 4.03	31.07 ± 10.41	4.12 ± 1.39
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.000	0.020	0.510	0.033	0.007
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} C.247G>A & GA & 168 & 69.69 \pm 10.01^b & 43.16 \pm 6.16^b & 23.07 \pm 4.05^b & 32.51 \pm 11.59^b & 4.33 \pm 1.74^b \\ AA & 13 & 66.56 \pm 11.73^b & 46.64 \pm 7.77^{ab} & 25.81 \pm 5.13^a & 39.32 \pm 24.99^{ab} & 4.85 \pm 3.36^{a,b} \\ \hline P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 97 & 73.32 \pm 10.34^a & 47.12 \pm 6.19^a & 24.38 \pm 4.64^{a,b} & 41.34 \pm 14.59^a & 5.57 \pm 1.93^a \\ AT & 167 & 70.00 \pm 10.33^b & 43.00 \pm 6.29^b & 23.01 \pm 3.88^b & 32.62 \pm 12.16^b & 4.36 \pm 1.83^b \\ \hline TT & 26 & \frac{69.64 \pm }{11.28^{a,b}} & 6.77^{a,b} & 25.23 \pm 5.50^a & 38.56 \pm 19.82^{a,b} & 5.08 \pm 2.88^{a,b} \\ \hline P-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ \hline CC & 240 & 71.92 \pm 10.71^a & 45.04 \pm 6.74^a & 23.84 \pm 4.84^a & 36.87 \pm 15.14^a & 4.97 \pm 2.16^a \\ \hline P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ \hline CC & 262 & 71.42 \pm 10.64^a & 44.87 \pm 6.60^a & 23.75 \pm 4.50^a & 36.57 \pm 12.77^a & 4.92 \pm 2.11^a \\ \hline P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ \hline CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^a & 46.04 \pm 6.56^a & 24.49 \pm 4.82^a & 39.99 \pm 12.86^a & 5.47 \pm 2.02^a \\ \hline C.1260C>T & CT & 28 & 69.24 \pm 9.1^a & 43.10 \pm 6.13^a & 23.42 \pm 3.24^a & 33.14 \pm 9.79^b & 4.25 \pm 1.26^b \\ \hline TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^b & 44.65 \pm 5.51^a & 22.87 \pm 4.96^a & 33.28 \pm 9.89^b & 4.16 \pm 1.14^b \\ \hline P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 \\ \hline CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^a & 46.04 \pm 6.56^a & 24.49 \pm 4.82^a & 39.99 \pm 12.86^a & 5.47 \pm 2.02^a \\ \hline TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^b & 44.65 \pm 5.51^a & 22.87 \pm 4.96^a & 33.28 \pm 9.89^b & 4.16 \pm 1.41^b \\ \hline P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ \hline TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^a & 46.20 \pm 6.31^a & 24.91 \pm 5.27^a & 4.52 \pm 1.36^b \\ \hline CC & CC & CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 8.88^a & 42.56 \pm 6.06^a & 24.49 \pm 4.82^a & 33.14 \pm 9.79^b & 4.25 \pm 1.26^b \\ \hline TT & 17 & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^a & 46.20 \pm 6.31^a & 24.71 \pm 5.20^a & 42.89 \pm 13.94^a & 5.47 \pm 2.02^a \\ \hline CC & CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^a & 42.64 \pm 6.55 \pm 7.46^a & 33.31 \pm 9.75^b & 4.52 \pm 1.48^c \\ \hline CC & CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^a & 42.94 \pm 6.34^a & 24.93 \pm 3.26^a & 33.31 \pm 9.75^b & 4.52 \pm 1.48^c \\ \hline CC & CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88$		C 247G>A	GG	109	73.40 ± 10.67^{a}	46.69 ± 6.49^{a}	$24.33 \pm 4.60^{a,b}$	41.16 ± 15.10^{a}	5.59 ± 2.07^{a}
$\beta - glucosidase = AAA = 13 \qquad AA = 13 \qquad AA = 13 \qquad AA = 13 \qquad AA = 0.010 \qquad AA = 0.001 = 0.001 \qquad AA = 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.001 \qquad AA = 0.001 = 0.000 = 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.000 = 0.000 = 0.000 = 0.000 = 0.001 = 0.000 =$			GA	168	69.69 ± 10.01^{b}	43.16 ± 6.16^{b}	23.07 ± 4.05^{b}	32.51 ± 11.59^{b}	4.33 ± 1.74^{b}
$ \begin{split} \beta - glucosidase & P-value & 0.010 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ AA & 97 & 73.32 \pm 10.34^a & 47.12 \pm 6.19^a & 24.38 \pm 4.64^{a,b} & 41.34 \pm 14.59^a & 5.57 \pm 1.93^a \\ AT & 167 & 70.00 \pm 10.33^b & 43.00 \pm 6.29^b & 23.01 \pm 3.88^b & 32.62 \pm 12.16^b & 4.36 \pm 1.83^b \\ TT & 26 & \frac{69.64 \pm }{11.28^{a,b}} & \frac{6.77^{a,b}}{6.77^{a,b}} & 25.23 \pm 5.50^a & 38.56 \pm 19.82^{a,b} & 5.08 \pm 2.88^{a,b} \\ P-value & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ \rho-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.025 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.025 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.025 \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.025 \\ P-$		0.21/01/11	AA	13	66.56 ± 11.73^{b}	$46.64 \pm 7.77^{a,b}$	25.81 ± 5.13^{a}	$39.32 \pm 24.99^{a,b}$	$4.85 \pm 3.36^{a,b}$
$\beta-glucosidase \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			P-value		0.010	0.001	0.010	0.001	0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} AT & 167 & 70.00 \pm 10.33^b & 43.00 \pm 6.29^b & 23.01 \pm 3.88^b & 32.62 \pm 12.16^b & 4.36 \pm 1.83^b \\ TT & 26 & 69.64 \pm & 46.01 \pm \\ 11.28^{a,b} & 6.77^{a,b} & 25.23 \pm 5.50^a & 38.56 \pm 19.82^{a,b} & 5.08 \pm 2.88^{a,b} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			AA	97	73.32 ± 10.34^{a}	47.12 ± 6.19^{a}	$24.38 \pm 4.64^{a,b}$	41.34 ± 14.59^{a}	5.57 ± 1.93^{a}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			AT	167	70.00 ± 10.33^{b}	43.00 ± 6.29^{b}	23.01 ± 3.88^{b}	32.62 ± 12.16^{b}	4.36 ± 1.83^{b}
$\beta-glucosidase = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 26 & 11.28^{a,b} & 6.77^{a,b} & 25.23 \pm 5.50^{a} & 38.56 \pm 19.82^{a,a} & 5.08 \pm 2.88^{a,a} \\ P-value & 0.040 & 0.001 & 0.007 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ CC & 240 & 71.92 \pm 10.71^{a} & 45.04 \pm 6.74^{a} & 23.84 \pm 4.48^{a} & 36.87 \pm 15.14^{a} & 4.97 \pm 2.16^{a} \\ C.270C > A & CA & 50 & 67.02 \pm 8.47^{b} & 42.87 \pm 5.40^{b} & 22.86 \pm 3.71^{a} & 32.21 \pm 9.00^{b} & 4.18 \pm 1.26^{b} \\ P-value & 0.003 & 0.040 & 0.150 & 0.040 & 0.010 \\ CC & 262 & 71.42 \pm 10.64^{a} & 44.87 \pm 6.60^{a} & 23.75 \pm 4.50^{a} & 36.57 \pm 12.77^{a} & 4.92 \pm 2.11^{a} \\ C.284C > T & CT & 28 & 67.80 \pm 8.58^{b} & 42.56 \pm 6.06^{a} & 22.87 \pm 2.74^{a} & 31.39 \pm 8.65^{b} & 3.98 \pm 1.04^{b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^{a} & 46.04 \pm 6.56^{a} & 24.49 \pm 4.82^{a} & 39.99 \pm 12.86^{a} & 5.47 \pm 2.02^{a} \\ C.1260C > T & CT & 28 & 69.24 \pm 9.31^{a} & 43.10 \pm 6.13^{a} & 23.42 \pm 3.24^{a} & 33.14 \pm 9.79^{b} & 4.25 \pm 1.26^{b} \\ TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^{b} & 44.65 \pm 5.51^{a} & 22.87 \pm 4.96^{a} & 33.28 \pm 9.89^{b} & 4.16 \pm 1.41^{b} \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} & 46.20 \pm 6.31^{a} & 24.71 \pm 5.20^{a} & 42.89 \pm 13.99^{a} & 6.04 \pm 2.35^{a} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 144 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 + 85^{a} & 24.89 \pm 5.27^{a} & 41.46 + 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 144 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 + 85^{a} & 24.89 \pm 5.27^{a} & 41.46 + 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 144 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 + 85^{a} & 24.89 \pm 5.27^{a} & 41.46 + 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 144 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 85^{a} & 47.26 \pm 85^{a} & 44.84^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 64 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 85^{a} & 27.46 \pm 85^{a} & 27.44 + 48.26^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 64 & 7187 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 85^{a} & 27.46 \pm 85^{a} & 27.44 \pm 85^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ C.1293T > C & CC & 144 & 12.26 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 85^{a} & 27.46 \pm 85^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.$		C.257A>T	TT	24	$69.64 \pm$	$46.01 \pm$	25.22 + 5.50	20.56 10.00 ^{ab}	r oo , r ooab
$\beta - glucosidase \beta - glucosidase P - value - va$			11	26	11.28 ^{a,b}	6.77 ^{a,b}	$25.23\pm5.50^{\circ}$	38.56 ± 19.82^{-5}	5.08 ± 2.88^{-5}
$\beta-glucosidase = \begin{bmatrix} C.270C > A \\ CA \\ P-value \\ P-value \\ C.284C > T \\$			P-value		0.040	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CC	240	71.92 ± 10.71^{a}	45.04 ± 6.74^a	23.84 ± 4.48^{a}	36.87 ± 15.14^{a}	4.97 ± 2.16^{a}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		C.270C>A	CA	50	67.02 ± 8.47^{b}	42.87 ± 5.40^{b}	22.86 ± 3.71^{a}	32.21 ± 9.00^{b}	4.18 ± 1.26^{b}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} CC & 262 & 71.42 \pm 10.64^{a} & 44.87 \pm 6.60^{a} & 23.75 \pm 4.50^{a} & 36.57 \pm 12.77^{a} & 4.92 \pm 2.11^{a} \\ CT & 28 & 67.80 \pm 8.58^{b} & 42.56 \pm 6.06^{a} & 22.87 \pm 2.74^{a} & 31.39 \pm 8.65^{b} & 3.98 \pm 1.04^{b} \\ P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^{a} & 46.04 \pm 6.56^{a} & 24.49 \pm 4.82^{a} & 39.99 \pm 12.86^{a} & 5.47 \pm 2.02^{a} \\ CT & 28 & 69.24 \pm 9.31^{a} & 43.10 \pm 6.13^{a} & 23.42 \pm 3.24^{a} & 33.14 \pm 9.79^{b} & 4.25 \pm 1.26^{b} \\ TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^{b} & 44.65 \pm 5.51^{a} & 22.87 \pm 4.96^{a} & 33.28 \pm 9.89^{b} & 4.16 \pm 1.41^{b} \\ P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} & 46.20 \pm 6.31^{a} & 24.71 \pm 5.20^{a} & 42.89 \pm 13.99^{a} & 6.04 \pm 2.35^{a} \\ C.1293T>C & CC & 44 & 71.87 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 6.85^{a} & 24.82 \pm 5.27^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ CC & 90 & 70.53 \pm 8.88^{a} & 43.87 \pm 6.03^{b} & 23.42 \pm 3.24^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ CC & 90 & 70.53 \pm 8.88^{a} & 47.26 \pm 6.85^{a} & 24.82 \pm 5.27^{a} & 47.44 \pm 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ CC & 90 & 70.53 \pm 8.88^{a} & 47.26 \pm 6.85^{a} & 24.82 \pm 5.27^{a} & 47.44 \pm 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ CC & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CC & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CC & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 $	β -glucosidase		P-value		0.003	0.040	0.150	0.040	0.010
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} C.284C > T & CT & 28 & 67.80 \pm 8.58^{b} & 42.56 \pm 6.06^{a} & 22.87 \pm 2.74^{a} & 31.39 \pm 8.65^{b} & 3.98 \pm 1.04^{b} \\ \hline P-value & 0.010 & 0.070 & 0.310 & 0.030 & 0.020 \\ \hline CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^{a} & 46.04 \pm 6.56^{a} & 24.49 \pm 4.82^{a} & 39.99 \pm 12.86^{a} & 5.47 \pm 2.02^{a} \\ \hline CT & 28 & 69.24 \pm 9.31^{a} & 43.10 \pm 6.13^{a} & 23.42 \pm 3.24^{a} & 33.14 \pm 9.79^{b} & 4.25 \pm 1.26^{b} \\ \hline TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^{b} & 44.65 \pm 5.51^{a} & 22.87 \pm 4.96^{a} & 33.28 \pm 9.89^{b} & 4.16 \pm 1.41^{b} \\ \hline P-value & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ \hline TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} & 46.20 \pm 6.31^{a} & 24.71 \pm 5.20^{a} & 42.89 \pm 13.99^{a} & 6.04 \pm 2.35^{a} \\ \hline C.1293T > C & CC & 64 & 71.87 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 6.85^{a} & 24.82 \pm 5.73^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$			CC	262	71.42 ± 10.64^{a}	44.87 ± 6.60^{a}	23.75 ± 4.50^{a}	36.57 ± 12.77^{a}	4.92 ± 2.11^{a}
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} P-\text{value} & \textbf{0.010} & 0.070 & 0.310 & \textbf{0.030} & \textbf{0.020} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 184 & 72.98\pm9.88^{a} & 46.04\pm6.56^{a} & 24.49\pm4.82^{a} & 39.99\pm12.86^{a} & 5.47\pm2.02^{a} \\ \text{CT} & 28 & 69.24\pm9.31^{a} & 43.10\pm6.13^{a} & 23.42\pm3.24^{a} & 33.14\pm9.79^{b} & 4.25\pm1.26^{b} \\ \text{TT} & 17 & 66.02\pm8.45^{b} & 44.65\pm5.51^{a} & 22.87\pm4.96^{a} & 33.28\pm9.89^{b} & 4.16\pm1.41^{b} \\ P-\text{value} & \textbf{0.006} & 0.060 & 0.240 & \textbf{0.020} & \textbf{0.005} \\ \hline \text{TT} & 75 & 73.91\pm10.12^{a} & 46.20\pm6.31^{a} & 24.71\pm5.20^{a} & 42.89\pm13.99^{a} & 6.04\pm2.35^{a} \\ \hline \text{TC} & 90 & 70.53\pm8.88^{a} & 43.87\pm6.03^{b} & 23.42\pm3.68^{a} & 33.31\pm9.75^{b} & 4.52\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{C.1293T>C} & \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.83^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.83^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.83^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.3.04^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.204^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.204^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.204^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.78^{a} & 41.46\pm1.204^{a} & 5.27\pm1.48^{c} \\ \hline \text{CC} & 64 & 71.87\pm10.78^{a} & 47.26\pm6.85^{a} & 24.82\pm5.77^{a} & 41.46\pm1.$		C.284C>T	CT	28	67.80 ± 8.58^{b}	42.56 ± 6.06^{a}	22.87 ± 2.74^{a}	31.39 ± 8.65^{b}	3.98 ± 1.04^{b}
$\begin{array}{cccccc} CC & 184 & 72.98 \pm 9.88^{a} & 46.04 \pm 6.56^{a} & 24.49 \pm 4.82^{a} & 39.99 \pm 12.86^{a} & 5.47 \pm 2.02^{a} \\ CT & 28 & 69.24 \pm 9.31^{a} & 43.10 \pm 6.13^{a} & 23.42 \pm 3.24^{a} & 33.14 \pm 9.79^{b} & 4.25 \pm 1.26^{b} \\ TT & 17 & 66.02 \pm 8.45^{b} & 44.65 \pm 5.51^{a} & 22.87 \pm 4.96^{a} & 33.28 \pm 9.89^{b} & 4.16 \pm 1.41^{b} \\ P\mbox{-value} & 0.006 & 0.060 & 0.240 & 0.020 & 0.005 \\ TT & 75 & 73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} & 46.20 \pm 6.31^{a} & 24.71 \pm 5.20^{a} & 42.89 \pm 13.99^{a} & 6.04 \pm 2.35^{a} \\ TC & 90 & 70.53 \pm 8.88^{a} & 43.87 \pm 6.03^{b} & 23.42 \pm 3.68^{a} & 33.31 \pm 9.75^{b} & 4.52 \pm 1.48^{c} \\ CC & 64 & 71.87 \pm 10.78^{a} & 47.26 \pm 6.83^{a} & 24.89 \pm 5.27^{a} & 41.46 \pm 13.04^{a} & 5.27 \pm 1.09^{b} \\ \end{array}$			P-value		0.010	0.070	0.310	0.030	0.020
C.1260C>TCT TT P-value28 17 69.24 ± 9.31^{a} 66.02 ± 8.45^{b} 44.65 ± 5.51^{a} 44.65 ± 5.51^{a} 22.87 ± 4.96^{a} 22.87 ± 4.96^{a} 33.28 ± 9.89^{b} 4.25 ± 1.26^{b} 4.16 ± 1.41^{b} 0.005 C.1293T>CTT TC CC75 CC73.91 \pm 10.12^{a} 70.53 ± 8.88^{a} 43.87 ± 6.03^{b} 23.42 ± 3.68^{a} 23.42 ± 3.68^{a} 33.14 ± 9.79^{b} 4.25 ± 1.26^{b} 4.16 ± 1.41^{b} 0.005			CC	184	72.98 ± 9.88^{a}	46.04 ± 6.56^{a}	24.49 ± 4.82^{a}	39.99 ± 12.86^{a}	5.47 ± 2.02^{a}
C.1260C>T TT T 17 66.02±8.45 ^b 44.65±5.51 ^a 22.87±4.96 ^a 33.28±9.89 ^b 4.16±1.41 ^b <i>P</i> -value 0.006 0.060 0.240 0.020 0.005 TT 75 73.91±10.12 ^a 46.20±6.31 ^a 24.71±5.20 ^a 42.89±13.99 ^a 6.04±2.35 ^a TC 90 70.53±8.88 ^a 43.87±6.03 ^b 23.42±3.68 ^a 33.31±9.75 ^b 4.52±1.48 ^c C.1293T>C C 64 71.87±10.78 ^a 47.26±6.85 ^a 24.83±5.27 ^a 41.46±13.04 ^a 5.27±1.08 ^b		C.1260C>T	СТ	28	69.24 ± 9.31^{a}	43.10 ± 6.13^{a}	23.42 ± 3.24^{a}	33.14 ± 9.79^{b}	4.25 ± 1.26^{b}
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			TT	17	66.02 ± 8.45^{b}	44.65 ± 5.51^{a}	22.87 ± 4.96^{a}	33.28 ± 9.89^{b}	4.16 ± 1.41^{b}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			P-value		0.006	0.060	0.240	0.020	0.005
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			ТТ	75	73.91 ± 10.12^{a}	46.20 ± 6.31^{a}	24.71 ± 5.20^{a}	42.89 ± 13.99^{a}	6.04 ± 2.35^{a}
C.1293T>C CC $(4, 71, 77+10, 72^{a}, 47, 72+6, 53^{a}, 24, 92+5, 27^{a}, 14, 46+12, 04^{a}, 5, 27+10, 0^{b})$			TC	90	70.53 ± 8.88^{a}	43.87 ± 6.03^{b}	2342 ± 368^{a}	33.31 ± 9.75^{b}	$452 \pm 148^{\circ}$
$(1, 04) / (0, \pm 10) / (0, \pm 10) / (0, \pm 0) / (0, \pm 0)$		C.1293T>C	CC	64	$71.87 + 10.78^{a}$	47.26 ± 6.85^{a}	24.83 ± 5.27^{a}	$41.46 + 13.04^{a}$	5.37 ± 1.98^{b}
$P-\text{value} \qquad 0.090 \qquad 0.003 \qquad 0.100 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.001$			P-value	01	0.090	0.003	0 100	0.001	0.001

Notes: Means with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly at P < 0.05. Bold values indicate P-value < 0.05.

ly (P<0.05) larger than those with genotype GA, and oysters with genotypes GG or GA at C.118G>A were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with genotype AA. In addition, at C.90T>C, significant (P<0.05) difference was only observed in shell height, in which the oysters with genotype TC were significantly larger than those with genotype TT. As the result, all four growth-related SNPs belong to the same intron.

For β -glucosidase gene, six SNPs were determined to be significantly (P < 0.05) related to at least one of the five growth traits (Table 2). At C.247G>A, oysters with genotype GG were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype GA in shell height, shell length, body weight and soft-tissue weight. Similarly, for these four growth traits, oysters with genotype AA at C.257A>T were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype AT, and oysters with genotype CC in C.270C>A were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype CA. In both C.284C>T and C.1260C>T, oysters with genotype CC showed significantly (P < 0.05) higher performances in shell height, body weight and soft-tissue weight. In addition, in C.1293T>C, oysters with genotypes TT and CC were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype TC in shell length, total weight and soft-tissue weight. C.247G>A and C.284C>T were nonsynonymous mutations (p.Asp63Asn and Thr75Ile), while C.1260C>T and C.1293T>C were synonymous mutations.

3.3 Verification of Growth-Related SNPs

Similar trends as the abovementioned results were observed in these verified individuals, although there were slight differences in specific traits (Table 3). For Ras gene, at C.86C>A, oysters with genotype AA or AC were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype CC only in shell height and soft-tissue weight, and oysters with genotype AA were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype CC in shell weight and body weight, but no significant (P > 0.05) differences were observed in shell length. At C.112A>G, oysters with genotype AA were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype GA not only in shell height, shell length, body weight and softtissue weight, but also in shell width. Similarly, at C.118G> A, ovsters with genotypes GG and GA were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype AA in all five growth traits. Moreover, at C.90T>C, no significant (P> 0.05) difference was observed in shell height, but the oysters with genotype TC were significantly (P < 0.05) larger than those with genotype TT in soft-tissue weight.

For β -glucosidase gene, only four of the six growth-related SNPs were observed significantly related to growth traits in verified individuals (Table 3). At C.247G>A, oysters with genotype AA were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with genotype GA in all five growth traits, while

Table 3 Association between SNPs in *Ras* and β -glucosidase genes and growth traits in three strains of *C. gigas*

S	NP	Genotype	Number	Shell height	Shell length	Shell width	Body weight	Soft-tissue weight
		othotype		(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(g)	(g)
		AA	79	58.36 ± 11.91^{a}	35.85 ± 8.62^{a}	20.77 ± 5.95^{a}	22.54 ± 13.49^{a}	2.31 ± 1.19^{a}
	C.86C > A	AC	78	55.40 ± 12.00^{a}	33.65 ± 9.09^{a}	$19.58 \pm 5.36^{a,b}$	$20.56 \pm 13.22^{a,b}$	2.18 ± 1.27^{a}
	0.000 11	CC	29	49.59 ± 9.01^{b}	32.63 ± 6.76^{a}	17.43 ± 5.13^{b}	15.72 ± 8.74^{b}	1.64 ± 0.86^{b}
		P-value		0.001	0.077	0.025	0.019	0.018
		TT	157	54.91 ± 12.88^{a}	33.56 ± 7.71^{a}	19.57 ± 5.70^{a}	18.98 ± 11.98^{a}	1.95 ± 1.16^{b}
	C.90T>C	TC	29	57.61 ± 12.23^{a}	$35.36 \!\pm\! 8.00^a$	20.06 ± 5.77^{a}	21.99 ± 12.96^{a}	2.39 ± 1.23^{a}
D		P-value		0.147	0.110	0.573	0.114	0.017
Kas		AA	147	57.76 ± 11.48^{a}	36.58 ± 7.39^{a}	20.60 ± 5.53^{a}	22.44 ± 13.27^{a}	2.32 ± 1.23^{a}
	C.112A>G	GA	39	48.21 ± 10.29^{b}	32.61 ± 7.23^{b}	16.56 ± 5.08^{b}	13.89 ± 8.59^{b}	1.52 ± 0.81^{b}
		P-value		0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000
		GG	67	55.31 ± 8.92^{b}	33.83 ± 7.09^{a}	19.51 ± 5.19^{a}	18.70 ± 10.53^{b}	1.97 ± 1.00^{b}
	C 119C > A	GA	86	60.71 ± 11.37^{a}	36.30 ± 8.16^{a}	20.98 ± 5.54^{a}	24.19 ± 13.37^{a}	2.54 ± 1.29^{a}
	C.116U>A	AA	19	$39.25 \pm 10.57^{\circ}$	27.96 ± 5.69^{b}	15.83 ± 6.68^{b}	$12.49 \pm 6.11^{\circ}$	$1.10 \pm 0.56^{\circ}$
		P-value		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
		GG	64	55.22 ± 11.03^{b}	$34.75 \pm 7.56^{a,b}$	$19.51 \pm 5.83^{a,b}$	$20.57 \pm 11.34^{a,b}$	2.26 ± 1.21^{a}
	$C 247 C > \Lambda$	GA	14	$45.81 \pm 10.16^{\circ}$	30.41 ± 4.94^{b}	16.89 ± 3.33^{b}	12.99 ± 6.36^{b}	1.19 ± 0.61^{b}
	C.24/U>A	AA	65	61.13 ± 11.13^{a}	36.63 ± 8.04^{a}	21.01 ± 4.24^{a}	24.56 ± 13.82^{a}	2.52 ± 1.23^{a}
		P-value		0.001	0.020	0.010	0.004	0.001
		CC	128	57.46 ± 12.12^{a}	35.66 ± 7.96^{a}	20.17 ± 5.19^{a}	22.45 ± 12.91^{a}	2.36 ± 1.25^{a}
	C.284C>T	CT	15	52.91 ± 8.71^{a}	31.08 ± 3.94^{b}	17.88 ± 3.36^{a}	14.74 ± 6.46^{b}	1.52 ± 0.58^{b}
		P-value		0.160	0.030	0.090	0.020	0.010
β -glucosidase		CC	8	$59.86 \pm 6.30^{a,b}$	36.81 ± 4.01^{a}	21.25 ± 3.59^{ab}	$21.43 \pm 4.14^{a,b}$	$2.13 \pm 0.63^{a,b}$
	C 1260C \ T	СТ	85	54.40 ± 11.53^{b}	34.12 ± 7.74^{a}	18.99 ± 4.86^{b}	18.61 ± 11.49^{b}	2.05 ± 1.24^{b}
	C.1200C > 1	TT	40	62.54 ± 8.81^{a}	36.89 ± 6.28^{a}	21.21 ± 4.66^{a}	25.40 ± 13.85^{a}	2.64 ± 1.13^{a}
		P-value		0.001	0.110	0.030	0.020	0.030
		TT	23	$58.43 \pm 12.97^{a,b}$	38.24 ± 8.33^{a}	21.31 ± 5.15^{a}	24.03 ± 13.94^{a}	2.61 ± 1.27^{a}
	G 1000T: G	TC	49	53.06 ± 9.56^{b}	31.87 ± 5.38^{b}	17.32 ± 3.75^{b}	14.97 ± 6.94^{b}	1.51 ± 0.67^{b}
	C.12931>C	CC	61	$60.02\!\pm\!10.73^a$	38.54 ± 7.21^{a}	25.21 ± 4.71^{a}	24.31 ± 13.35^{a}	2.77 ± 1.24^{a}
		P-value		0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Notes: Means with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly at P < 0.05. Bold values indicate P-value < 0.05.

the performances of oysters with genotype GG were between AA and GA. At C.284C>T, oysters with genotype CC were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with genotype CT in shell length, body weight and soft-tissue weight, while no significant (P>0.05) difference was observed in shell height. Notably, at C.1260C>T, the greatest performances were observed in oysters with genotype TT not in those with genotype CC. In addition, at C.1293T>C, oysters with genotypes TT and CC were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with genotype TC not only in shell length, total weight and soft-tissue weight, but also in shell width.

3.4 Construction of Haplotypes and Their Association with Growth Traits

Eight haplotypes were constructed based on four growthrelated SNPs (C.86C>A, C.90T>C, C.112A>G and C.118G >A) of *Ras* gene, and these eight haplotypes were significantly (P<0.05) associated with all five growth traits (Table 4). For all five growth traits, oysters with the haplotype R-Hap8 (ACAA) had the highest performances, and were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with haplotype R-Hap1 (CTAA) and R-Hap2 (CCAG).

Table 4 Associations between haplotypes in *Ras* gene and growth traits in three strains of *C. gigas*

						1 21	0 0		U	.0
Haplotypes	Number	86	90	112	118	Shell height (mm)	Shell length (mm)	Shell width (mm)	Body weight (g)	Soft-tissue weight (g)
R-Hap1	43	С	Т	А	Α	45.86 ± 9.17^{a}	30.09 ± 6.29^{a}	17.53 ± 5.42^{a}	12.71 ± 7.36^{a}	1.34 ± 0.77^{a}
R-Hap2	10	С	С	Α	G	$49.25 \pm 9.52^{a,b}$	30.87 ± 4.64^{a}	16.05 ± 3.57^{a}	12.14 ± 3.29^{a}	$1.50 \pm 0.34^{a,b}$
R-Hap3	68	Α	Т	Α	G	$54.72 \pm 10.42^{a,b}$	$33.99 \pm 6.96^{a,b}$	19.31 ± 5.02^{a}	$18.43 \pm 10.79^{a,b}$	$1.93 \pm 1.03^{a,b}$
R-Hap4	6	Α	Т	G	Α	$54.77 \pm 10.04^{a,b}$	$34.55 \pm 7.66^{a,b}$	19.09 ± 5.47^{a}	$20.35 \pm 8.56^{a,b}$	$2.03 \pm 0.79^{a,b,c}$
R-Hap5	71	С	С	Α	А	58.05 ± 11.04^{b}	$35.77 \pm 8.01^{a,b}$	19.97 ± 5.30^{a}	$23.07 \!\pm\! 12.84^{a,b}$	$2.44 \pm 1.22^{a,b,c}$
R-Hap6	140	Α	Т	G	G	58.55 ± 10.23^{b}	$35.36 \pm 7.94^{a,b}$	$20.54 \pm 5.34^{a,b}$	$22.40 \pm 12.64^{a,b}$	$2.35 \pm 1.23^{a,b,c}$
R-Hap7	16	Α	Т	Α	Α	$65.16 \pm 18.09^{\circ}$	$36.27 \pm 9.50^{a,b}$	$20.72 \pm 5.74^{a,b}$	27.57 ± 14.91^{b}	$2.71 \pm 1.46^{b,c}$
R-Hap8	5	Α	С	А	Α	$66.46 \pm 8.51^{\circ}$	39.74 ± 7.36^{b}	25.88 ± 9.79^{b}	29.14 ± 6.86^{b}	$3.22 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$

For β -glucosidase gene, nine haplotypes constructed based on four growth-related SNPs (C.247G>A, C.284C>T, C.1260C>T and C.1293T>C) were significantly (P<0.05) associated with all five growth traits (Table 5). Furthermore, oysters with the haplotype β -Hap9 (ACTT) had the highest performances, and were significantly (P<0.05) larger than those with other haplotypes. From the above eight growth-related SNPs from *Ras* and β -glucosidase genes, 27 haplotypes significantly (P<0.05) associated with four growth traits were constructed (Table 6). Oysters with haplotypes R5_ β 7, R6_ β 6, R6_ β 9 and R7_ β 7 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those of haplotype R1_ β 2 in shell height, body weight and soft-tissue weight.

Table 5 Associations between haplotypes in β -glucosidase gene and growth traits in three strains of C. gigas

						1 10	e	0		88
Haplotypes	Number	247	284	1260	1293	Shell height (mm)	Shell length (mm)	Shell width (mm)	Body weight	Soft-tissue weight
Q Hom 1	1.4	٨	т	т	C	51 07 + 6 61 ^{a,b}	20.74 ± 4.01^{a}	17 41 + 2 42ª	12 42 ± 5 21 ^a	1.26 ± 0.50^{a}
р-нар т	14	A	1	1	C	$31.8/\pm0.01$	30.74 ± 4.01	$1/.41 \pm 3.42$	13.42 ± 3.31	1.30 ± 0.30
β-Нар 2	64	G	С	С	Т	50.95 ± 10.88^{a}	32.04 ± 6.62^{a}	17.49 ± 4.84^{a}	$15.79 \pm 8.30^{a,b}$	$1.60 \pm 0.84^{a,b}$
β-Нар 3	13	G	С	Т	Т	$53.84 \pm 11.43^{a,b,c}$	$36.04 \pm 7.20^{a,b}$	$20.84 \pm 6.15^{a,b}$	$19.80 \pm 9.11^{a,b,c}$	$2.29 \pm 0.91^{b,c}$
β-Нар 4	89	G	С	Т	С	$55.89 \pm 9.84^{a,b,c}$	$33.82 \pm 7.05^{a,b}$	$19.32 \pm 5.26^{a,b}$	$20.24 \pm 11.30^{a,b,c}$	$2.11 \pm 1.19^{a,b,c}$
β-Нар 5	16	G	С	С	С	$57.90 \pm 7.67^{a,b,c}$	$36.07 \pm 7.41^{a,b}$	$19.58 \pm 5.33^{a,b}$	$20.86 \pm 10.91^{a,b,c}$	$2.56 \pm 1.25^{\circ}$
β-Нар 6	83	А	С	Т	С	$59.51 \pm 11.91^{b,c,d}$	$35.54 \pm 6.89^{a,b}$	$20.15 \pm 4.15^{a,b}$	$23.02 \pm 13.58^{b,c}$	$2.37 \pm 1.14^{b,c}$
β-Нар 7	40	А	С	С	Т	$61.64 \pm 11.25^{c,d}$	$38.16 \pm 8.83^{b,c}$	$22.12 \pm 3.70^{b,c}$	$25.59 \pm 13.11^{\circ}$	$2.58 \pm 1.21^{\circ}$
β-Нар 8	9	А	С	С	С	$61.72 \pm 7.64^{c,d}$	$38.22 \pm 4.74^{b,c}$	$23.33 \pm 2.78^{\circ}$	$28.06 \pm 6.69^{\circ}$	$2.93\pm1.34^{\circ}$
β-Нар 9	4	А	С	Т	Т	67.27 ± 9.80^{d}	42.93 ± 10.36^{c}	$23.66 \pm 2.62^{\circ}$	36.60 ± 20.23^{d}	3.78 ± 1.36^{d}

Table 6 Associations between haplotypes in *Ras* and β -glucosidase genes and growth traits in three strains of *C. gigas*

Haplotypes	Number	Shell height (mm)	Shell length (mm)	Shell width (mm)	Body weight (g)	Soft-tissue weight (g)
R1_β2	11	41.25 ± 8.69^{a}	28.60 ± 6.70^{a}	15.95 ± 6.11^{a}	8.55 ± 4.40^{a}	0.89 ± 0.46^{a}
R1_β4	7	$51.16 \pm 10.54^{a,b}$	$36.79 \pm 5.62^{a,b}$	16.11 ± 4.97^{a}	$17.33 \pm 10.14^{a,b}$	$1.74 \pm 0.86^{a,b}$
R1_β6	8	$54.50 \pm 7.22^{a,b}$	$34.45 \pm 2.67^{a,b}$	19.43 ± 4.90^{a}	$17.84 \pm 5.82^{a,b}$	$2.36 \pm 0.40^{a,b}$
R1_β7	3	$44.82 \pm 1.18^{a,b}$	$28.32 \pm 2.44^{a,b}$	23.48 ± 5.87^{a}	$13.53 \pm 5.97^{a,b}$	$1.43 \pm 0.71^{a,b}$
R2_β2	6	$48.83 \pm 7.79^{a,b}$	$29.07 \pm 4.72^{a,b}$	15.76 ± 2.81^{a}	$12.98 \pm 3.43^{a,b}$	$1.32 \pm 0.31^{a,b}$
R3_β1	5	$57.19 \pm 8.79^{a,b}$	$33.11 \pm 7.36^{a,b}$	17.12 ± 2.68^{a}	$16.30 \pm 6.51^{a,b}$	$1.48 \pm 0.58^{a,b}$
R3_β2	16	$52.70 \pm 8.82^{a,b}$	$32.12 \pm 6.64^{a,b}$	19.14 ± 5.02^{a}	$15.31 \pm 5.99^{a,b}$	$1.83 \pm 0.86^{a,b}$
R3_β4	17	$52.84 \pm 11.20^{a,b}$	$32.94 \pm 6.37^{a,b}$	18.94 ± 5.26^{a}	$17.36 \pm 11.40^{a,b}$	$1.74 \pm 1.00^{a,b}$
R3_β5	5	$56.74 \pm 9.39^{a,b}$	$34.49 \pm 4.42^{a,b}$	19.13 ± 2.71^{a}	$16.18 \pm 5.46^{a,b}$	$2.32 \pm 0.54^{a,b}$
R3_β6	8	$55.57 \pm 11.93^{a,b}$	$35.79 \pm 6.06^{a,b}$	21.02 ± 6.30^{a}	$23.33 \pm 18.86^{a,b}$	$2.33 \pm 1.46^{a,b}$
R3_β7	8	$60.15 \pm 8.25^{a,b}$	$40.57 \pm 7.90^{a,b}$	22.75 ± 2.88^{a}	$28.03 \pm 8.96^{a,b}$	$2.60 \pm 1.11^{a,b}$
R4_β4	3	$53.08 \pm 13.77^{a,b}$	$33.23 \pm 6.09^{a,b}$	15.52 ± 4.74^{a}	$17.67 \pm 10.56^{a,b}$	$2.13 \pm 1.16^{a,b}$
R5_β1	4	$55.48 \pm 7.24^{a,b}$	$31.24 \pm 4.58^{a,b}$	19.75 ± 1.88^{a}	$16.75 \pm 4.85^{a,b}$	$1.45 \pm 0.19^{a,b}$
R5_β2	22	$52.09 \pm 12.25^{a,b}$	$34.24 \pm 6.71^{a,b}$	17.59 ± 5.98^{a}	$18.84 \pm 10.30^{a,b}$	$1.92 \pm 0.92^{a,b}$
R5_β4	11	$58.37 \pm 7.18^{a,b}$	$32.39 \pm 6.24^{a,b}$	21.39 ± 5.63^{a}	$20.70 \pm 8.02^{a,b}$	$2.15 \!\pm\! 0.81^{a,b}$

(to be continued)

(continued)

Haplotypes Number		Shell height	Shell length	Shell width	Body weight	Soft-tissue weight
		(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(g)	(g)
R5_β5	10	$58.15 \pm 6.92^{a,b}$	$39.91 \pm 8.60^{a,b}$	20.78 ± 6.38^{a}	$26.83 \pm 13.06^{a,b}$	3.27 ± 1.55^{b}
R5_β6	5	63.6 ± 11.20^{b}	$37.93 \pm 10.12^{a,b}$	22.19 ± 2.57^{a}	$30.68 \pm 20.83^{a,b}$	$3.12 \pm 1.27^{a,b}$
R5_β7	10	64.55 ± 13.18^{b}	41.08 ± 9.61^{b}	21.86 ± 3.82^{a}	29.62 ± 16.82^{b}	3.11 ± 1.43^{b}
R6_β2	3	$47.03 \pm 22.23^{a,b}$	$30.89 \pm 9.92^{a,b}$	15.57 ± 7.65^{a}	$13.93 \pm 10.82^{a,b}$	$1.60 \pm 1.45^{a,b}$
R6_β3	12	$57.05 \pm 9.58^{a,b}$	$36.6 \pm 6.58^{a,b}$	22.32 ± 5.77^{a}	$19.82 \pm 8.28^{a,b}$	$2.44 \pm 0.78^{a,b}$
R6_β4	44	55.79 ± 8.69^{b}	$34.27 \pm 7.76^{a,b}$	19.86 ± 5.53^{a}	$21.42 \pm 12.02^{a,b}$	$2.28 \pm 1.31^{a,b}$
R6_β6	52	60.29 ± 12.31^{b}	$35.74 \pm 7.77^{a,b}$	20.82 ± 5.31^{a}	23.52 ± 13.10^{b}	2.38 ± 1.17^{b}
R6_β7	5	$59.92 \pm 9.30^{a,b}$	$40.09 \pm 7.51^{a,b}$	23.22 ± 5.18^{a}	$23.72 \pm 13.03^{a,b}$	$2.48 \pm 1.26^{a,b}$
R6_β9	5	67.29 ± 17.73^{b}	$43.39 \pm 13.28^{a,b}$	23.58 ± 2.92^{a}	37.28 ± 22.55^{b}	3.68 ± 2.05^{b}
R7_β2	3	$50.36 \pm 22.72^{a,b}$	$31.21 \pm 9.80^{a,b}$	19.16 ± 5.11^{a}	$17.17 \pm 16.35^{a,b}$	$1.47 \pm 1.07^{a,b}$
R7_β4	3	72.83 ± 2.65^{b}	$39.35 \pm 7.08^{a,b}$	21.61 ± 6.69^{a}	$33.33 \pm 14.77^{a,b}$	$3.57 \pm 1.84^{a,b}$
R7_β7	4	73.61 ± 8.78^{b}	$42.75 \pm 7.84^{a,b}$	24.73 ± 3.81^{a}	37.95 ± 5.88^b	3.58 ± 0.56^{b}

Note: Haplotype R1_ β 2 means haplotype R-Hap1 in Table 4 is grouped with β -Hap2 in Table 5, and so on.

3.5 Allelic Frequencies of Eight Growth-Related SNPs in Three Strains

Allelic frequencies of these eight growth-related SNPs were shown in Table 7. The PIC of C.284C>T were from 0.02 to 0.11, while the rest seven SNPs were from 0.26 to 0.37. For C.86C>A and C.284C>T, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed in all three strains of China, Japan and Korea, while C.90T>C, C.112A>G and C.247G>A did not meet Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in any of these three strains. In addition, for C.118G>A, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed in China and Korea strains, but not in Japan strain. For C.1260C>T and C.1293T>C, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed in Japan strain, but not in China and Korea strains.

4 Discussion

The SNP detection of the candidate genes to obtain potential markers for specific traits can be used to improve the efficiency of selective breeding (Yang *et al.*, 2020). The *Ras* gene and β -glucosidase gene are two important growthrelated genes (Lynd *et al.*, 2002; Jouaux *et al.*, 2012), but there is no study on the association between mutations of these two genes and growth traits in bivalves so far. In this study, seventeen SNPs were revealed in 669 bp of the coding region of the *Ras* gene, and twelve SNPs were revealed in 716 bp of the coding region of the β -glucosidase gene. The SNP densities of these two genes were 2.54% and 1.68 respectively, which is consistent with the average polymorphism rate 2.3% in *C. gigas* (Zhang *et al.*, 2012).

For *Ras* gene, four SNPs significantly (P < 0.05) associated with growth traits have been identified through preliminary and validation analysis. Considering *Ras* gene identified by this study, as well as the insulin receptor-related receptor (Cong *et al.*, 2014), insulin-related peptide (Cong *et al.*, 2013; Shi *et al.*, 2013), insulin growth factor binding protein (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Choi *et al.*, 2018) reported in previous studies, now a total of four genes from the insulin pathway have been identified to be associated with growth traits in *C. gigas*. The regulatory effect of insulin pathway on growth traits has also been well documented in other animals (Gricourt *et al.*, 2006; Schlueter *et al.*, 2007; Zhang and He, 2020). Moreover, the *Ras* gene is abun-

dantly expressed in the mantle that plays an important role in the shell formation and soft body growth during the rapid growth stage (Jouaux *et al.*, 2012). However, the molecular mechanism under the association between these four SNPs and growth of *C. gigas* remain unclear. These four SNPs may affect the efficiency of transcription, because introns can increase transcript levels and increase the efficiency of mRNA translation by affecting the rate of transcription, nuclear export, and transcript stability (Shaul, 2017). In addition, the haplotype R-Hap8 was found to show the best growth performance. Haplotype, as a combination of alleles of multiple loci inherited on the same chromosome, can provide more information than SNP (Daly *et al.*, 2001).

Similarly, for β -glucosidase gene, four SNPs significantly (P < 0.05) associated with growth traits have been identified through preliminary and validation analysis. The β glucosidase, as an important cellulase, plays a critical role in the cellulose degradation (Lynd *et al.*, 2002). β -glucosidase that is expressed in the mammalian liver, kidney, intestine and spleen might be involved in the degradation and absorption of flavonoid glycosides (Berrin et al., 2003). In C. gigas, β -glucosidase gene is expressed only in the digestive gland, indicating that it might be involved in the digestion and absorption (Liu, 2012). Among the four growth-related SNPs of β -glucosidase gene, C.247G>A and C.284C>T were nonsynonymous mutations (p.Asp63Asn and Thr75Ile), which may cause changes in the spatial structure and function of the encoded protein, thereby affecting its physiological functions. C.1260C>T and C.1293T >C were synonymous mutations, which may affect transcription efficiency as a positive regulator, or may closely relate to the causal mutation (Beuzen et al., 2000). The haplotype β -Hap9 showed the highest performances. However, if it is used as a selective marker, some smaller oysters might be selected as a big variance of body weight was observed in this haplotype.

The accuracy of selection can be improved by considering both the haplotypes of *Ras* and β -glucosidase genes. Haplotypes R-Hap5 and β -Hap7, R-Hap 6 and β -Hap 6, R-Hap 6 and β -Hap 9, as well as R-Hap 7 and β -Hap 7 can be used to assist the selection of fast-growing individuals. In addition, the effect of both haplotypes R-Hap 8 and β -Hap 9 is not included here, because the oysters with this combination were too few to be analyzed.

Lo	cus			China	Japan	Korea
		Allele	А	0.72	0.6	0.66
		1 more	С	0.28	0.4	0.34
	C.86C>A	Heterozygosity		0.5	0.59	0.55
		PIC		0.32	0.37	0.35
		Equilibrium X2 test		P = 0.12	P = 0.12	P = 0.22
		Allele	Т	0.77	0.78	0.76
		TT , ',	C	0.23	0.22	0.24
	C.901 >C	Heterozygosity		0.47	0.43	0.48
		PIC Equilibrium V2 tost		0.29	0.28	0.30
Ras		Equilibrium X2 test		P=0.03	P=0.05	P=0.05
		Allele	A	0.58	0.61	0.6
		· · ·	G	0.42	0.39	0.4
	C.112A>G	Heterozygosity		0.84	0.78	0.81
		PIC		0.37	0.37	0.37
		Equilibrium X2 test		<i>P</i> <0.001	<i>P</i> <0.001	<i>P</i> <0.001
		Allele	А	0.34	0.41	0.28
		1 111010	G	0.66	0.59	0.72
	C.118G>A	Heterozygosity		0.47	0.6	0.38
		PIC		0.34	0.34	0.32
		Equilibrium X2 test		P = 1.00	P = 0.03	P = 0.71
		Allele	Α	0.25	0.67	0.43
			G	0.75	0.33	0.57
	C.247G>A	Heterozygosity		0.07	0.15	0.22
		PIC		0.30	0.34	0.37
		Equilibrium X2 test		P<0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
		A 11-1-0	С	0.99	0.94	0.94
		Allele	Т	0.01	0.06	0.06
	C.284C > T	Heterozygosity		0.02	0.12	0.12
		PIC		0.02	0.11	0.11
0 1 . 1		Equilibrium X2 test		P = 1.00	P = 1.00	P = 1.00
p-giucosiaase		A 11 - 1 -	С	0.39	0.38	0.4
		Allele	Т	0.61	0.62	0.6
	C.1260C>T	Heterozygosity		0.71	0.59	0.68
		PIC		0.36	0.36	0.36
		Equilibrium X2 test		P<0.001	P = 0.09	P = 0.03
		A 11 - 1	С	0.62	0.66	0.62
		Allele	Т	0.38	0.34	0.38
	C.1293T>C	Heterozygosity		0.25	0.51	0.68
	0.12/01/0	PIC		0.26	0.35	0.36
		Equilibrium X2 test		P<0.001	P = 0.24	P<0.001

Fable 7 All	elic frec	mencies of	f growth-i	elated SNPs	s in thre	e C g	<i>igas</i> strains
	che net	fuencies of	i giowui-i	clated bitti	$, \dots, \dots, \dots,$	c c g	izus suams

Note: PIC, polymorphism information content.

The polymorphism level can be classified into three types according to the PIC value, including low polymorphism (PIC value < 0.25), intermediate polymorphism (0.25 < PIC value < 0.5) and high polymorphism (PIC value > 0.5) (Ma *et al.*, 2011). In this study, C.284C>T belongs to the low polymorphism, while all other SNPs belong to intermediate polymorphism. In addition, not all SNPs in these three strains can be analyzed by Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Similar results have also been observed in the polymorphism analysis of insulin receptor-related receptor (Cong *et al.*, 2014) and insulin-related peptide (Cong *et al.*, 2013; Shi *et al.*, 2013) in *C. gigas*. These results might be caused by the genotype of these three strains in three consecutive generations of artificial selection.

5 Conclusions

This study explored the polymorphisms of *Ras* and β -

glucosidase genes and analyzed their association with growth traits in *C. gigas*. Four SNPs within *Ras* gene and four SNPs within β -glucosidase gene were significantly associated with growth traits. Grouping different *Ras* and β glucosidase genes together, haplotypes R-Hap5 and β -Hap7, R-Hap 6 and β -Hap 6, R-Hap 6 and β -Hap 9, and R-Hap 7 and β -Hap 7 can be used to assist the selection of fastgrowing individuals. These results provide candidate markers for the selective breeding of *C. gigas* with fast growth.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31972789), the Industrial Development Project of Qingdao City (No. 20-3-4-16nsh), and the Science and Technology Development Project of Weihai City (No. 2018NS01).

References

- Beguin, P., and Aubert, J. P., 1994. The biological degradation of cellulose. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **13**: 25-58, DOI: 10. 1016/0168-6445(94)90099-x.
- Berrin, J. G., Czjzek, M., Kroon, P. A., McLauchlan, W. R., Puigserver, A., Williamson, G., et al., 2003. Substrate (aglycone) specificity of human cytosolic beta-glucosidase. *Biochemical Journal*, **373**: 41-48, DOI: 10.1042/bj20021876.
- Beuzen, N. D., Stear, M. J., and Chang, K. C., 2000. Molecular markers and their use in animal breeding. *Veterinary Journal*, 160: 42-52, DOI: 10.1053/tvjl.2000.0468.
- Chen, N., Li, L., Li, C. H., Lin, Z. H., Meng, J., Liu, S., et al., 2020. bHLH genes polymorphisms and their association with growth traits in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, **38**: 862-868, DOI: 10.1007/ s00343-019-9070-4.
- Choi, Y. H., Kim, E. Y., and Nam, T. J., 2018. Involvement of insulin-like growth factor in intraspecific variation in growth of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* during winter. *Fisheries Science*, 84: 1017-1024, DOI: 10.1007/s12562-018-1232-3.
- Ciocan, C. M., Moore, J. D., and Rotchell, J. M., 2006. The role of Ras gene in the development of haemic neoplasia in *Mytilus trossulus*. *Marine Environmental Research*, **62**: S147-S150, DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2006.04.020.
- Cong, R. H., Kong, L. F., Yu, H., and Li, Q., 2014. Association between polymorphism in the insulin receptor-related receptor gene and growth traits in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, **54**: 144-149, DOI: 10. 1016/j.bse.2014.02.003.
- Cong, R. H., Li, Q., and Kong, L. F., 2013. Polymorphism in the insulin-related peptide gene and its association with growth traits in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, **46**: 36-43, DOI: 10.1016/j.bse.2012.09. 008.
- Dai, P., Huan, P., Wang, H. X., Lu, X., and Liu, B. Z., 2015. Characterization of a long-chain fatty acid-CoA ligase 1 gene and association between its SNPs and growth traits in the clam *Meretrix meretrix. Gene*, 566: 194-200, DOI: 10.1016/j.gene. 2015.04.047.
- Daly, M. J., Rioux, J. D., Schaffner, S. E., Hudson, T. J., and Lander, E. S., 2001. High-resolution haplotype structure in the human genome. *Nature Genetics*, **29**: 229-232, DOI: 10.1038/ng 1001-229.
- Dégremont, L., Ernande, B., Bedier, E., and Boudry, P., 2007. Summer mortality of hatchery-produced Pacific oyster spat (*Crassostrea gigas*). I. Estimation of genetic parameters for survival and growth. *Aquaculture*, **262**: 41-53, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.10.025.
- Fan, S. G., Xu, Y. H., Liu, B. S., He, W. Y., Zhang, B., Su, J. Q., et al., 2017. Molecular characterization and expression analysis of the myostatin gene and its association with growth traits in Noble scallop (*Chlamys nobilis*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B-Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, 212: 24-31, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2017.07.004.
- Feng, L. Y., Li, X., Yu, Q., Ning, X. H., Dou, J. Z., Zou, J. J., *et al.*, 2014. A scallop IGF binding protein gene: Molecular characterization and association of variants with growth traits. *PLoS One*, **9**: 7, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089039.
- Gjedrem, T., 2012. Genetic improvement for the development of efficient global aquaculture: A personal opinion review. *Aquaculture*, **344**: 12-22, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.03.003.

Gjedrem, T., and Rye, M., 2018. Selection response in fish and

shellfish: A review. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, **10**: 168-179, DOI: 10.1111/raq.12154.

- Gricourt, L., Mathieu, M., and Kellner, K., 2006. An insulin-like system involved in the control of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea* gigas reproduction: hrIGF-1 effect on germinal cell proliferation and maturation associated with expression of an homologous insulin receptor-related receptor. *Aquaculture*, 251: 85-98, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.05.015.
- Hollenbeck, C. M., and Johnston, I. A., 2018. Genomic tools and selective breeding in molluscs. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9: 253, DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00253.
- Huang, G. J., Guo, Y. H., Li, L., Fan, S. G., Yu, Z. N., and Yu, D. H., 2016. Genomic structure of the alpha-amylase gene in the pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata* and its expression in response to salinity and food concentration. *Gene*, **587**: 98-105, DOI: 10. 1016/j.gene.2016.04.044.
- Huvet, A., Jeffroy, F., Fabioux, C., Daniel, J. Y., Quillien, V., Van Wormhoudt, A., *et al.*, 2008. Association among growth, food consumption-related traits and amylase gene polymorphism in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Animal Genetics*, **39**: 662-665, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2008.01776.x.
- Jouaux, A., Franco, A., Heude-Berthelin, C., Sourdaine, P., Blin, J. L., Mathieu, M., et al., 2012. Identification of Ras, Pten and p70S6K homologs in the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas and diet control of insulin pathway. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 176: 28-38, DOI: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.12.008.
- Langdon, C., Evans, F., Jacobson, D., and Blouin, M., 2003. Yields of cultured Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg improved after one generation of selection. *Aquaculture*, **220**: 227-244, DOI: 10.1016/s0044-8486(02)00621-x.
- Li, Q., Wang, Q. Z., Liu, S. K., and Kong, L. F., 2011. Selection response and realized heritability for growth in three stocks of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Fisheries Science*, 77: 643-648, DOI: 10.1007/s12562-011-0369-0.
- Lima, I., Peck, M. R., Rendon-Von Osten, J., Soares, A. M. M., Guilhermino, L., and Rotchell, J. M., 2008. Ras gene in marine mussels: A molecular level response to petrochemical exposure. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 56: 633-640, DOI: 10.1016/j.mar polbul.2008.01.018.
- Liu, C. H., 2012. Cloning, characterization and expression analysis of cellulose gene in *Crassostrea gigas*. Master thesis. Ocean University of China (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lynd, L. R., Weimer, P. J., van Zyl, W. H., and Pretorius, I. S., 2002. Microbial cellulose utilization: Fundamentals and biotechnology. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 66: 506-577, DOI: 10.1128/mmbr.66.3.506-577.2002.
- Ma, L., Qu, Y. J., Huai, Y. T., Li, Z. J., Wang, J., Lan, X. Y., et al., 2011. Polymorphisms identification and associations of *KLF7* gene with cattle growth traits. *Livestock Science*, **135**: 1-7, DOI: 10.1016/j.livsci.2010.04.014.
- Miossec, L., Le Deuff, R. M., and Goulletquer, P., 2009. Alien species alert: Crassostrea gigas (Pacific oyster). ICES Cooperative Research Report, No. 299. 42pp.
- Moon, J. S., and Choi, Y. H., 2019. Multiplex PCR for the rapid detection of insulin-like growth factor in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*: A useful indicator for growth assessment. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 46: 1023-1031, DOI: 10.1007/s11033-018-4559-z.
- Ning, X. H., Feng, L. Y., Li, X., Wang, S. Y., Zhang, M. R., Wang, S., et al., 2018. The scallop IGF2 mRNA-binding protein gene *PyIMP* and association of a synonymous mutation with growth traits. *Genes & Genetic Systems*, 93: 91-100, DOI: 10.1266/ggs.17-00028.
- Niu, D. H., Wang, L., Bai, Z. Y., Xie, S. M., Zhao, H. G., and Li,

J. L., 2015. Identification and expression characterization of the myostatin (*MSTN*) gene and association analysis with growth traits in the razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Gene*, **555**: 297-304, DOI: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.11.020.

- Ou, L. J., Li, X. Y., Wu, G. Q., and Yang, N., 2005. Efficient and sensitive method of DNA silver staining in polyacrylamide gels. *Electrophoresis*, **26**: 99-101, DOI: 10.1002/elps.200406 177.
- Prieto, D., Markaide, P., Urrutxurtu, I., Navarro, E., Artigaud, S., Fleury, E., *et al.*, 2019. Gill transcriptomic analysis in fast- and slow-growing individuals of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Aquaculture*, **511**: 734242, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734242.
- Saavedra, C., Milan, M., Leite, R. B., Cordero, D., Patarnello, T., Cancela, M. L., *et al.*, 2017. A microarray study of carpet-shell clam (*Ruditapes decussatus*) shows common and organ-specific growth-related gene expression differences in gills and digestive gland. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8: 943, DOI: 10.3389/ fphys.2017.00943.
- Sakamoto, K., Uji, S., Kurokawa, T., and Toyohara, H., 2009. Molecular cloning of endogenous beta-glucosidase from common Japanese brackish water clam *Corbicula japonica*. *Gene*, **435**: 72-79, DOI: 10.1016/j.gene.2009.01.011.
- Schlueter, P. J., Peng, G., Westerfield, M., and Duan, C., 2007. Insulin-like growth factor signaling regulates zebrafish embryonic growth and development by promoting cell survival and cell cycle progression. *Cell Death and Differentiation*, 14: 1095-1105, DOI: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4402109.
- Shaul, O., 2017. How introns enhance gene expression. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, **91**: 145-155, DOI: 10.1016/j.biocel.2017.06.016.
- Shi, Y., Guan, Y. Y., and He, M. X., 2013. Molecular identification of insulin-related peptide receptor and its potential role in regulating development in *Pinctada fucata. Aquaculture*, **408**: 118-127, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.05.038.
- Sun, X. J., Li, L., Liu, Z. H., Zhao, D., Yang, A. G., Zhou, L. Q., et al., 2020. Molecular characterization of the myostatin gene and its regulation on muscle growth in Yesso scallop Pati-

nopecten yessoensis. Aquaculture, **520**: 734982, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.734982.

- Tanimura, A., Liu, W., Yamada, K., Kishida, T., and Toyohara, H., 2013. Animal cellulases with a focus on aquatic invertebrates. *Fisheries Science*, **79**: 1-13, DOI: 10.1007/s12562-012-0559-4.
- Wang, Q. Z., Li, Q., Kong, L. F., and Yu, R. H., 2012. Response to selection for fast growth in the second generation of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). *Journal of Ocean University of China*, **11**: 413-418, DOI: 10.1007/s11802-012-1909-7.
- Ward, R. D., English, L. J., McGoldrick, D. J., Maguire, G. B., Nell, J. A., and Thompson, P. A., 2000. Genetic improvement of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg) in Australia. *Aquaculture Research*, **31**: 35-44, DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2109. 2000.00388.x.
- Watanabe, H., and Tokuda, G., 2001. Animal cellulases. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 58: 1167-1178, DOI: 10.1007/pl00000931.
- Yang, C. Y., Yang, J. M., Hao, R. J., Du, X. D., and Deng, Y. W., 2020. Molecular characterization of *OSR1* in *Pinctada fucata martensii* and association of allelic variants with growth traits. *Aquaculture*, **516**: 734617, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019. 734617.
- Zhang, G. F., Fang, X. D., Guo, X. M., Li, L., Luo, R. B., Xu, F., et al., 2012. The oyster genome reveals stress adaptation and complexity of shell formation. *Nature*, **490**: 49-54, DOI: 10. 1038/nature11413.
- Zhang, H., and He, M. X., 2020. The role of a new insulin-like peptide in the pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata martensii*. *Scientific Reports*, **10**: 433, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-57329-3.
- Zhang, H., Shi, Y., and He, M. X., 2017. Molecular identification of an insulin growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) and its potential role in an insulin-like peptide system of the pearl oyster, *Pinctada fucata. Comparative Biochemistry and Phy*siology B-Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, 214: 27-35, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2017.09.003.

(Edited by Qiu Yantao)